

Tame ramification and group cohomology

Chandan Singh Dalawat¹ and Jung-Jo Lee^{2,*}

¹Harish-Chandra Research Institute, Chhatnag Road, Jhansi, Allahabad 211 019, India

e-mail: dalawat@gmail.com

²Department of Mathematics, Seoul National University, Shillim-dong, Gwanak-gu, Seoul 151-742, Korea

e-mail: jungjolee@gmail.com

Communicated by: Dipendra Prasad

Received: January 13, 2016

Abstract. We give an intrinsic parametrisation of the set of tamely ramified extensions of a local field with finite residue field and bring to the fore the role played by group cohomology. We show that two natural definitions of the cohomology class of a tamely ramified finite galoisian extension coincide, and can be recovered from the parameter. We also give an elementary proof of Serre's mass formula in the tame case and in the simplest wild case, and we classify tame galoisian extensions of degree the cube of a prime.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11S15, 11S20.

Let K be a local field with finite residue field k of characteristic p and cardinality q . Let $e > 0$ be an integer such that $e \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and let $f > 0$ be an arbitrary integer. Consider the set $T_{e,f}(K)$ of K -isomorphism classes of finite (separable) extensions of K of ramification index e and residual degree f . This set was investigated by Hasse in Chapter 16 of his treatise [10], by Albert in [1], by Iwasawa in [11] and by Feit in [9] (sometimes with the restriction that K be of characteristic 0, or that the extensions be galoisian, or that $f \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$).

Our purpose here is to give a more intrinsic parametrisation of this set, and to bring to the fore the role played by group cohomology, a theory which had not yet been fully formalised at the time of Hasse and Albert, although only the first few cohomology groups (which were known under different names) are needed.

*JLL was supported by NRF grant No. 2012-005700, Republic of Korea.

We are able to recover properties of $L \in \mathcal{T}_{e,f}(K)$ directly from its parameter. These properties include those of being galoisian, or abelian, or cyclic over K . For every L , the parameter determines the galoisian closure \tilde{L} of L over K . When $L|K$ is galoisian, the parameter of $L|K$ determines the cohomology class of the extension of groups

$$1 \rightarrow \text{Gal}(L|K_f) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(L|K) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K_f|K) \rightarrow 1$$

corresponding to the tower $L | K_f | K$, where K_f is the maximal unramified extension of K in L ; it also determines the smallest extension $K_{\tilde{f}}$ of K_f such that the extension of groups corresponding to the tower $LK_{\tilde{f}} | K_{\tilde{f}} | K$ be split.

We also give an easy elementary proof of Serre's mass formula [14] in the tame case (and in the case when the degree is divisible by p but not by p^2), analogous to the recent proof [4] in prime degrees l (in both the cases $l \neq p$ and $l = p$). We explicitly work out all galoisian extensions of K of degree l^3 (for every prime $l \neq p$), including the case $l = 2$ of (tamely ramified) octic dihedral or quaternionic extensions.

Let K_f be the degree- f unramified extension of K , $w_f : K_f^\times \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ its normalised valuation, k_f the residue field of K_f , and $G_f = \text{Gal}(K_f|K)$. We shall show that $\mathcal{T}_{e,f}(K)$ is in canonical bijection with the set of orbits for the action of G_f on set of what we call ramified lines $D \subset K_f^\times / K_f^{\times e}$ or equivalently on the set of sections of $\bar{w}_f : K_f^\times / K_f^{\times e} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/e\mathbf{Z}$; ramified lines are precisely images of sections of \bar{w}_f .

We begin by recalling some basic facts about cohomology of groups in §1 and apply them to the cohomology of finite fields in §2, where we verify an important compatibility between two different definitions of the cohomology class of an extension of a cyclic group by a cyclic group. We then recall in §3 some basic properties of the Kummer pairing such as its equivariance. The fundamental notion of *ramified lines* is introduced in §4. In §5 we parametrise the set $\mathcal{T}_{e,1}(K)$ and give a proof in the spirit of [4] of Serre's mass formula in degree e (and also in degree ep when combined with the results of [4]). We then provide in §6 an analogue in degree e (prime to p) of the orthogonality relation in prime degree [4]. In §7, we give the parametrisation of $\mathcal{T}_{e,f}(K)$ and show how the various invariants of an $L \in \mathcal{T}_{e,f}(K)$ can be recovered from its parameter. Finally, we work out a number of instructive examples in §8.

1. Cohomology of groups

Most readers can skip this §, except perhaps (1.8) where we compute the number of G -orbits in a G -module C (when both groups are cyclic) — this is the key to Roquette's determination of the cardinality of $\mathcal{T}_{e,f}(K)$ (7.1.4). For an account of group cohomology by one of its creators, see [5].

1.1 The group $H^2(G, C)_\theta$

Let G be a group and C a G -module, both written multiplicatively, and $\theta : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C)$ the action of G on C . An extension of G by C is a short exact sequence $1 \rightarrow C \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ such that the resulting conjugation action of G on C is equal to the given action θ . Two extensions Γ, Γ' of G by C are isomorphic if there is an isomorphism of groups $\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma'$ inducing Id_C on the common subgroup C and Id_G on the common quotient G . Isomorphism classes of extensions of G by C are classified by the group $H^2(G, C)_\theta$. The class $[\Gamma] \in H^2(G, C)_\theta$ vanishes if and only if the extension Γ is split in the sense that the projection $\Gamma \rightarrow G$ admits a section, which happens precisely when Γ is isomorphic to the twisted product $C \times_\theta G$, the product set $C \times G$ with the law of composition $(c, g)(d, h) = (c\theta(g)(d), gh)$.

1.2 The group $H^1(G, C)_\theta$

The group $H^1(G, C)_\theta$ is the set of sections of the projection $C \times_\theta G \rightarrow G$ up to C -conjugacy; it can be identified with the set of supplements of C in Γ (subgroups $D \subset C \times_\theta G$ such that $C \cap D = 1, CD = \Gamma$) up to Γ -conjugacy (or C -conjugacy, which comes to the same). If the action θ is trivial, then $H^1(G, C)_1 = \text{Hom}(G, C)$.

1.3 The restriction map in general

Let G be a group and C a G -module. Let $\varphi : G' \rightarrow G$ be a morphism of groups; it allows us to view the G -module C as a G' -module via the action $\theta \circ \varphi$. Let C' be a G' -module (with action θ'), and let $\psi : C \rightarrow C'$ be a morphism of G' -modules. For $i = 1, 2$, the pair (φ, ψ) induces a map $H^i(G, C)_\theta \rightarrow H^i(G', C')_{\theta'}$ on cohomology called the *restriction map*.

For $i = 1$, it sends the class in $H^1(G, C)_\theta$ of a section $g \mapsto (\sigma(g), g)$ of the projection $C \times_\theta G \rightarrow G$ to the class in $H^1(G', C')_{\theta'}$ of the section $g' \mapsto (\psi(\sigma(\varphi(g'))), g')$ of the projection $C' \times_{\theta'} G' \rightarrow G'$.

For $i = 2$, the restriction map $H^2(G, C)_\theta \rightarrow H^2(G', C')_{\theta'}$ coming from the pair (φ, ψ) will be defined in two steps. In the first step, $C' = C$ and $\psi = \text{Id}_C$, and in the second step, $G' = G$ and $\varphi = \text{Id}_G$.

When $C' = C$ and $\psi = \text{Id}_C$, the map $H^2(G, C)_\theta \rightarrow H^2(G', C)_{\theta \circ \varphi}$ coming from the pair (φ, Id_C) sends the class of an extension Γ of G by C to the class of the extension Γ_φ of G' by C consisting of those $(\gamma, g') \in \Gamma \times G'$ such that $\gamma = \varphi(g')$ in G .

When $G' = G$ and $\varphi = \text{Id}_G$, the map $H^2(G, C)_\theta \rightarrow H^2(G, C')_{\theta'}$ coming from the pair (Id_G, ψ) sends the class of an extension Γ' of G by

C to the class of the extension ${}_{\psi}\Gamma' = (C' \times \Gamma')/\psi'(C)$ of G' by C' , where $\psi'(c) = (\psi(c), c^{-1})$.

The restriction map $H^2(G, C)_{\theta} \rightarrow H^2(G', C')_{\theta'}$ coming from a general pair (φ, ψ) is defined by first applying (φ, Id_C) and then applying $(\text{Id}_{G'}, \psi)$ to get the extension ${}_{\psi}(\Gamma_{\varphi})$ of G' by C' . In the special case when $\varphi : G' \rightarrow G$ is surjective and $C' = C$, the restriction map is called the *inflation map*; it will be of particular relevance in what follows.

1.4 The case of cyclic groups

Recall how the groups $H^1(G, C)_{\theta}$ and $H^2(G, C)_{\theta}$ can be computed when G is cyclic of order $n > 0$. Let σ be a generator of G , and define the elements $\sigma - 1$ and $N_{\sigma} = 1 + \sigma + \cdots + \sigma^{n-1}$ in the group ring $\mathbf{Z}[G]$ (over which C is a left module via θ). We have $N_{\sigma}(\sigma - 1) = 0$ and $(\sigma - 1)N_{\sigma} = 0$, and therefore we get a complex

$$C \xrightarrow{(\)^{\sigma-1}} C \xrightarrow{(\)^{N_{\sigma}}} C \xrightarrow{(\)^{\sigma-1}} C. \quad (1.4.1)$$

The cohomology groups of (1.4.1) are canonically isomorphic to $H^1(G, C)_{\theta}$ and $H^2(G, C)_{\theta}$ respectively. If θ is trivial, then $H^1(G, C)_1 = {}_n C$ and $H^2(G, C)_1 = C/C^n$.

Let G' be another cyclic group, $\varphi : G' \rightarrow G$ a surjective morphism of groups, and σ' a generator of G' such that $\varphi(\sigma') = \sigma$. Let C' be a G' -module and $\psi : C \rightarrow C'$ a morphism of G' -modules. Then the restriction map $H^i(G, C)_{\theta} \rightarrow H^i(G', C')_{\theta'}$ is simply given by restriction to subgroups and passage to the quotient from the map $\psi : C \rightarrow C'$.

1.5 The case of cyclic modules

Specialise further to the case when C is also cyclic, of some order $m > 0$, and let $a \in \mathbf{Z}$ be such that $\theta(\sigma) = \bar{a}$ in $(\mathbf{Z}/m\mathbf{Z})^{\times}$ (so that $a^n \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$). The orders of the cyclic groups $H^1(G, C)_a$ and $H^2(G, C)_a$ can then be computed in terms of a, m and n because for every $r \in \mathbf{Z}$, the order of the kernel ${}_r C$ (resp. the image C^r) of the endomorphism $(\)^r$ of C is $\text{gcd}(m, r)$ (resp. $m/\text{gcd}(m, r)$). Taking $r = a - 1$ and $r = 1 + a + \cdots + a^{n-1}$ respectively gives the result.

To get a presentation of the extension Γ of G by C corresponding to a given class in $H^2(G, C)_a$, choose generators $\tau \in C, \sigma \in G$ and identify the class of Γ with the class of an element $s \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that $(a - 1)s \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ (modulo those which are $\equiv (1 + a + \cdots + a^{n-1})t$ for some $t \in \mathbf{Z}$); for a suitable lift $\tilde{\sigma} \in \Gamma$ of σ , we then have

$$\Gamma = \langle \tau, \tilde{\sigma} \mid \tau^m = 1, \tilde{\sigma}^n = \tau^s, |\tilde{\sigma} \tau \tilde{\sigma}^{-1} = \tau^a \rangle. \quad (1.5.1)$$

For a direct derivation of this presentation, see for example [12, 9.4].

Example 1.5.2. Take $n = 2$ and $m = 4$. The possibilities for $a \pmod{4}$ are 1 and -1 . When $a = 1$, we have $H^2(G, C)_1 = C/C^2$, and the two extensions Γ (1.5.1) are the direct product $C \times G$ and the one in which the group Γ is cyclic. When $a = -1$, we have $H^2(G, C)_{-1} = {}_2C$, the split extension is the twisted product $C \times_{-1} G$ (1.1) and called the dihedral group $\mathfrak{D}_{4,2}$, while the other is called the quaternionic group $\mathfrak{Q}_{4,2}$.

1.6 Commutativity and cyclicity

Let us determine the order of $\tilde{\sigma}$ in Γ (1.5.1), and the conditions for Γ to be commutative or cyclic.

Remark 1.6.1. Although $s \in \mathbf{Z}$ is not unique in (1.5.1), $r = \gcd(m, s)$ is uniquely determined; m/r is the order of τ^s in the group Γ . We claim that *the order of the element $\tilde{\sigma} \in \Gamma$ (1.5.1) is mn/r* . Indeed, the order of $\tilde{\sigma}$ is a multiple dn of the order n of its image $\sigma \in G$; we have to show that $d = m/r$. Now, from the relation $\tilde{\sigma}^n = \tau^s$, it follows that $\tilde{\sigma}^{dn} = \tau^{ds} = 1$, so d is a multiple of the order m/r of τ^s . But conversely, it follows from $\tilde{\sigma}^{mn/r} = \tau^{ms/r} = 1$ that dn divides mn/r and therefore d divides m/r . Hence $d = m/r$, and the order of $\tilde{\sigma}$ is mn/r .

Remark 1.6.2. Note that the group Γ (1.5.1) is commutative if and only if τ and $\tilde{\sigma}$ commute, which happens precisely when $a \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$, in view of the relations $\tau^m = 1$, $\tilde{\sigma}\tau\tilde{\sigma}^{-1} = \tau^a$.

Remark 1.6.3. Suppose that $a \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. In this case, the extension (1.5.1) of G by C splits if and only if $s \equiv 0 \pmod{\gcd(m, n)}$. Indeed, this congruence is equivalent to the existence of a $t \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that $nt \equiv s \pmod{m}$, which is equivalent to $s \equiv (1 + a + \cdots + a^{n-1})t \pmod{m}$ in view of $a \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$.

For a prime l and an integer $x \neq 0$, denote by $v_l(x)$ the exponent of l in the prime decomposition of x . The following proposition has been extracted from [1, Theorem 13] and the proof has been simplified.

Proposition 1.6.4. *Suppose that $a \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. The (commutative) group Γ (1.6.2) is cyclic if and only if s is prime to $\gcd(m, n)$.*

Proof. Suppose first that s is prime to $\gcd(m, n)$; we have to find an element of order mn in Γ . The idea is to find an element $\gamma_l \in \Gamma$ of order $l^{v_l(mn)}$ for every prime l in each of the three (exhaustive) cases $v_l(m)v_l(n) > 0$, $v_l(m) = 0$, and $v_l(n) = 0$.

If $v_l(m)v_l(n) > 0$, then l divides $\gcd(m, n)$ and is prime to s , so $\gcd(m, s)$ is prime to l and $m/\gcd(m, s)$ is divisible by $l^{v_l(m)}$. Consequently, $mn/\gcd(m, s)$

is divisible by $l^{v_l(mn)}$, and hence there is an element $\gamma_l \in \Gamma$ of order $l^{v_l(mn)}$, in view of the fact that the order of $\tilde{\sigma} \in \Gamma$ is $mn/\gcd(m, s)$ by (1.6.1). Even if $v_l(m) = 0$ (so that $v_l(mn) = v_l(n)$), the subgroup (of order a multiple of n) generated by $\tilde{\sigma}$ has an element γ_l of order $l^{v_l(mn)}$. Finally, if $v_l(n) = 0$, then the subgroup (of order m) generated by τ has an element γ_l of order $l^{v_l(m)} = l^{v_l(mn)}$. These γ_l are trivial for almost all l (because $v_l(mn) = 0$ for almost all l), so their product over all l exists, is independent of the sequence of the factors because Γ is commutative by (1.6.2), and has order mn .

Conversely, suppose that the group Γ is cyclic, so that $\bar{\Gamma}_l = \Gamma/\Gamma^{l^{v_l(mn)}} (= \Gamma \otimes \mathbf{Z}_l)$ is also cyclic and has order $l^{v_l(mn)}$ for every prime l . Suppose (if possible) that there is a prime l dividing all three numbers m, s, n ; we shall get a contradiction by showing that $\bar{\Gamma}_l$ would then have order $< l^{v_l(mn)}$. This follows from the fact that it is generated by the pair $\bar{\tau}, \bar{\tilde{\sigma}} \in \bar{\Gamma}_l$ (images of τ and $\tilde{\sigma}$ respectively) each of which has order $< l^{v_l(mn)}$, because $v_l(m) < v_l(mn)$ and $v_l(mn/\gcd(m, s)) < v_l(mn)$ by hypothesis (recall that the order of $\tilde{\sigma}$ is $mn/\gcd(m, s)$ by (1.6.1)). \square

1.7 The inflation map in the bicyclic case

Let G' be another cyclic group, of order cn for some $c > 0$, let σ' be a generator of G' , and let $\varphi : G' \rightarrow G$ be the surjection such that $\varphi(\sigma') = \sigma$. Regard C as a G' -module via $\sigma' \mapsto \sigma \mapsto ()^a$. As before, the group $H^2(G', C)_a$ can be identified with the kernel ${}_{a-1}C$ of $()^{a-1} : C \rightarrow C$ modulo the image of $()^{1+a+\dots+a^{cn-1}} : C \rightarrow C$. Notice that $1 + a + \dots + a^{cn-1} \equiv (1 + a + \dots + a^{n-1})c \pmod{m}$. Hence there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 {}_{a-1}C & \xrightarrow{()^c} & {}_{a-1}C \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 H^2(G, C)_a & \longrightarrow & H^2(G', C)_a
 \end{array} \tag{1.7.1}$$

in which the vertical arrows are the passage to the quotient. We claim that the lower horizontal arrow — induced by $()^c$ — is the same as the restriction map (1.3) coming from the pair (φ, Id_C) .

Proposition 1.7.2. *The map $H^2(G, C)_a \rightarrow H^2(G', C)_a$ in the above diagram is the inflation map corresponding to the quotient $\varphi : G' \rightarrow G$.*

Proof. Let a class in $H^2(G, C)_a$ be represented by an extension Γ of G by C having the presentation (1.5.1). The inflated extension Γ' of G' by C consists of

$(\alpha; \beta) \in \Gamma \times G'$ such that $\bar{\alpha} = \varphi(\beta)$ in G (1.3). As a lift $\tilde{\sigma}' \in \Gamma'$ of the generator $\sigma' \in G'$, we choose $\tilde{\sigma}' = (\tilde{\sigma}, \sigma')$. We then have $\tilde{\sigma}'^{cn} = (\tilde{\sigma}^{cn}, \sigma'^{cn}) = (\tau^{cs}, 1) = \tau^{cs}$ and we are done, because Γ' admits the desired presentation $\Gamma' = \langle \tau, \tilde{\sigma}' \mid \tau^m = 1, \tilde{\sigma}'^{cn} = \tau^{cs}, \tilde{\sigma}'\tau\tilde{\sigma}'^{-1} = \tau^a \rangle$. \square

1.8 The number of orbits

The following lemma captures one of the basic ingredients in Roquette's computation [10, Chapter 16] of the number of tamely ramified extensions of given ramification index and residual degree (7.1.4).

Lemma 1.8.1. *Let C be a cyclic group of order $m > 0$, let $a > 0$ be prime to m , and make \mathbf{Z} act on C by $1 \mapsto ()^a$. The number of orbits for this action is $\sum_{t \mid m} \phi(t) / \chi_a(t)$, where $\chi_a(t)$ denotes the order of \bar{a} in the group $(\mathbf{Z}/t\mathbf{Z})^\times$ of order $\phi(t)$.*

Proof. If $x, y \in C$ are in the same orbit, then they have the same order in C . The possible orders are the divisors of m ; for each divisor t of m , there are $\phi(t)$ elements of order t . Also, the orbit of an $x \in C$ of order t has $\chi_a(t)$ elements. Indeed, if the orbit consists of the r elements $x, x^a, \dots, x^{a^{r-1}}$, then r is the smallest integer > 0 such that $x^{a^r} = x$, or equivalently r is the smallest integer > 0 such that $a^r \equiv 1 \pmod{t}$, so $r = \chi_a(t)$. \square

2. Cohomology of finite fields

We now apply the results of §1 to some galoisian modules arising from finite fields.

Let p be a prime number, k a finite extension of \mathbf{F}_p with q elements, k_f the degree- f extension of k (for every $f > 0$), and $G_f = \text{Gal}(k_f/k)$. Let $e > 0$ be an integer such that $q^f \equiv 1 \pmod{e}$. We are interested in the groups $H^2(G_f, k_f^\times/k_f^{\times e})_q$ and $H^2(G_f, {}_e k_f^\times)_q$, where ${}_e k_f^\times$ is the group of e -th roots of 1 in k_f^\times . Every $\xi \in k_f^\times$ such that $\xi^{q-1} \in k_f^{\times e}$ gives rise to a class in each of these two H^2 ; we prove their compatibility (2.2). For a given class in $H^2(G_f, k_f^\times/k_f^{\times e})_q$, we also determine (2.3.4) the smallest multiple \hat{f} of f such that the inflated class vanishes in $H^2(G_{\hat{f}}, k_{\hat{f}}^\times/k_{\hat{f}}^{\times e})_q$.

2.1 The classes in $H^2(G_f, k_f^\times/k_f^{\times e})_q$ and $H^2(G_f, {}_e k_f^\times)_q$

Let $\xi \in k_f^\times$. If the image $\bar{\xi} \in k_f^\times/k_f^{\times e}$ is such that $\bar{\xi}^{q-1} = \bar{1}$, then it has a class $[\bar{\xi}] \in H^2(G_f, k_f^\times/k_f^{\times e})_q$. But there is also a way to attach a class in $H^2(G_f, {}_e k_f^\times)_q$ to such ξ which was inspired by [8, 6.1].

Write $\zeta^{q-1} = \alpha^e$ for some $\alpha \in k_f^\times$, and put $\zeta = N_f(\alpha)$, where $N_f : k_f^\times \rightarrow k^\times$ is the norm map. We then have

$$\zeta^e = N_f(\alpha^e) = N_f(\zeta^{q-1}) = 1,$$

so $\zeta \in {}_e k_f^\times$. At the same time $\zeta \in k^\times$ (being the N_f of something in k_f^\times), so $\zeta^{q-1} = 1$. In other words, ζ is in the kernel of $(\)^{q-1} : {}_e k_f^\times \rightarrow {}_e k_f^\times$, and so has a class $[\zeta] \in H^2(G_f, {}_e k_f^\times)_q$. If now we replace α by $\varepsilon\alpha$ for some $\varepsilon \in {}_e k_f^\times$, then ζ gets replaced by $N_f(\varepsilon)\zeta$. As $N_f(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon^{1+q+\dots+q^{f-1}}$, the class $[\zeta] \in H^2(G, {}_e k_f^\times)_q$ is uniquely determined by ζ and does not depend on the choice of α .

2.2 The compatibility of the two classes

Recall that $q^f \equiv 1 \pmod{e}$. The two groups $k_f^\times/k_f^{\times e}$, ${}_e k_f^\times$ are cyclic of the same order e and they are canonically isomorphic as G_f -modules by $\bar{\xi} \mapsto \xi^{(q^f-1)/e}$. Therefore we get a canonical isomorphism

$$H^2(G, k_f^\times/k_f^{\times e})_q \rightarrow H^2(G, {}_e k_f^\times)_q.$$

Proposition 2.2.1. *Under this isomorphism, the class $[\bar{\xi}]$ of any $\xi \in k_f^\times$ such that $\xi^{q-1} \in k_f^{\times e}$ gets mapped to the class $[\zeta]$ of $\zeta = N_f(\alpha)$ for any $\alpha \in k_f^\times$ such that $\zeta^{q-1} = \alpha^e$.*

Proof. Put $S = 1 + q + \dots + q^{f-1}$. Notice first that the condition $\xi^{q-1} \in k_f^{\times e}$ is equivalent to $\xi^{(q^f-1)/e} \in k^\times$, because $k_f^{\times e}$ (resp. $k^\times = k_f^{\times S}$) is the subgroup of order $(q^f - 1)/e$ (resp. $q - 1$) of the cyclic group k_f^\times of order $q^f - 1$. Indeed, if ω is a generator of k_f^\times and if $\xi = \omega^x$, then the condition $\xi^{q-1} \in k_f^{\times e}$ is equivalent to $x(q-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{e}$, and the condition $\xi^{(q^f-1)/e} \in k^\times$ is equivalent to $x(q^f - 1)/e \equiv 0 \pmod{S}$. But these two congruences are equivalent (and are clearly satisfied when $\xi \in k^\times$; they might sometimes be satisfied even by some $\xi \notin k^\times$).

Now let $\xi \in k_f^\times$ be such that $\xi^{q-1} = \alpha^e$ for some $\alpha \in k_f^\times$, or equivalently, as we've seen, $\xi^{(q^f-1)/e} = \beta^S$ for some $\beta \in k_f^\times$. We have to show that $N_f(\alpha) = \alpha^S$ and β^S , which are both in the kernel of the endomorphism $(\)^{q-1}$ of ${}_e k_f^\times$, define the same class in $H^2(G, {}_e k_f^\times)$ or equivalently that $(\beta\alpha^{-1})^S = \eta^S$ for some $\eta \in {}_e k_f^\times$.

Choose a generator ω of k_f^\times and write $\xi = \omega^x$, $\alpha = \omega^a$, $\beta = \omega^b$ with $x, a, b \in \mathbf{Z}$, so that

$$(q-1)x = ae + (q^f - 1)c, \quad \left(\frac{q^f - 1}{e}\right)x = bS + (q^f - 1)d$$

for some $c, d \in \mathbf{Z}$. We then have $(b-a)S = \left(\frac{q^f - 1}{e}\right)(cS - de)$, so if we take $\eta = \omega^{\left(\frac{q^f - 1}{e}\right)c}$, then $\eta \in {}_e k_f^\times$ and $\eta^S = (\beta\alpha^{-1})^S$, hence α^S has the same class as β^S in $H^2(G_f, {}_e k_f^\times)$, which was to be proved. \square

2.3 The inflation map

Let $f' > 0$ be a multiple of f . By our notational convention, $k_{f'}$ is the degree- f' extension of k and $G_{f'} = \text{Gal}(k_{f'}|k)$. The inclusion $k_f^\times \rightarrow k_{f'}^\times$ induces a map on the quotients $k_f^\times/k_f^{\times e} \rightarrow k_{f'}^\times/k_{f'}^{\times e}$. The reader may wish to compare the following lemma with [7, Satz 3.6].

Lemma 2.3.1. *For a given $\xi \in k_f^\times$, the smallest multiple f' of f such that $\bar{\xi}^{q-1} = \bar{1}$ in $k_{f'}^\times/k_{f'}^{\times e}$ is $f' = df$, where d is the order of $\bar{\xi}^{q-1}$ in $k_f^\times/k_f^{\times e}$.*

Proof. Clearly, f' being a multiple of f , the relation $\bar{\xi}^{q-1} = \bar{1}$ holds in $k_{f'}^\times/k_{f'}^{\times e}$ if and only if $\xi^{q-1} \in k_{f'}^{\times e}$. The result follows from the fact that the degree of the extension $k_f(\sqrt[q]{\xi^{q-1}})$ over k_f equals d . \square

Next, for every divisor c of e , we have $k_{cf} = k_f(\sqrt[c]{k_f^\times})$ and the natural map $\iota : k_f^\times/k_f^{\times e} \rightarrow k_{cf}^\times/k_{cf}^{\times e}$ is “raising to the exponent c ” in the sense that if we choose a generator $\omega_c \in k_{cf}^\times$ and put $\omega = \omega_c^{\frac{q^{cf}-1}{q^f-1}}$ (which is a generator of k_f^\times), then $\iota(\bar{\omega}) = \bar{\omega}_c^c$ in $k_{cf}^\times/k_{cf}^{\times e}$. Indeed, since $q^f \equiv 1 \pmod{e}$, we have

$$\frac{q^{cf} - 1}{q^f - 1} = q^{(c-1)f} + \dots + q^f + 1 \equiv c \pmod{e}.$$

Now, the map $\iota : k_f^\times/k_f^{\times e} \rightarrow k_{cf}^\times/k_{cf}^{\times e}$ is G_{cf} -equivariant and hence induces the inflation map

$$H^2(G_f, k_f^\times/k_f^{\times e})_q \rightarrow H^2(G_{cf}, k_{cf}^\times/k_{cf}^{\times e})_q. \quad (2.3.3)$$

Lemma 2.3.4. *For a given $\xi \in k_f^\times$ such that $\bar{\xi}^{q-1} = 1$ in $k_f^\times/k_f^{\times e}$, the smallest multiple \hat{f} of f such that $[\bar{\xi}] = 0$ in $H^2(G_{\hat{f}}, k_{\hat{f}}^\times/k_{\hat{f}}^{\times e})_q$ is $\hat{f} = \hat{c}f$, where \hat{c} is the order of $[\bar{\xi}]$ in $H^2(G_f, k_f^\times/k_f^{\times e})_q$.*

Proof. We have seen that for every divisor c of e , $\iota : k_f^\times/k_f^{\times e} \rightarrow k_{cf}^\times/k_{cf}^{\times e}$ is “raising to the exponent c ”, which is compatible with the inflation map (2.3.3) by (1.7.2). \square

3. Kummerian extensions

We need to recall some basic facts about abelian extensions of exponent dividing d of a field F which contains a primitive d -th root of 1 and which is galoisian of finite degree over some other field F' .

3.1 Background

Essentially as a consequence of the Hilbert-Noether vanishing theorem for a certain H^1 (Satz 90), the maximal abelian extension of F of exponent dividing d is $M = F(\sqrt[d]{F^\times})$, and there is a perfect pairing

$$\text{Gal}(M|F) \times (F^\times/F^{\times d}) \longrightarrow {}_dF^\times, \quad (\sigma, \bar{x}) = \frac{\sigma(y)}{y} \quad (y^d = x) \quad (3.1.1)$$

between the profinite group $\text{Gal}(M|F)$ and the discrete group $F^\times/F^{\times d}$. For any closed subgroup $H \subset \text{Gal}(M|F)$, we have $M^H = F(\sqrt[d]{D})$ where $D \subset F^\times/F^{\times d}$ is the orthogonal complement of H for the above pairing. Conversely, for every subgroup $D \subset F^\times/F^{\times d}$, the orthogonal complement $H \subset \text{Gal}(M|F)$ is a closed subgroup and $M^H = F(\sqrt[d]{D})$. Also, for every subgroup $D \subset F^\times/F^{\times d}$, the pairing (3.1.1) gives an isomorphism of (profinite) groups $\text{Gal}(F(\sqrt[d]{D})|F) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(D, {}_dF^\times)$.

3.2 Equivariant pairings

Now suppose that F is a galoisian extension of finite degree over some field F' , of group $G = \text{Gal}(F|F')$. If $D \subset F^\times/F^{\times d}$ is a subgroup such that $F(\sqrt[d]{D})$ is galoisian over F' , then the group $\text{Gal}(F(\sqrt[d]{D})|F)$ may be considered as a G -module for the conjugation action coming from the short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \text{Gal}(F(\sqrt[d]{D})|F) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(F(\sqrt[d]{D})|F') \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1. \quad (3.2.1)$$

Proposition 3.2.2. *The extension $F(\sqrt[d]{D})$ is galoisian over F' if and only if the subgroup $D \subset F^\times/F^{\times d}$ is G -stable. If so, the isomorphism of groups $\text{Gal}(F(\sqrt[d]{D})|F) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(D, {}_dF^\times)$ is G -equivariant.*

Proof. Suppose first that D is G -stable. We have to show that $F(\sqrt[d]{D})$, which is clearly separable over F' , coincides with all its F' -conjugates. The notation $F(\sqrt[d]{D})$ stands for $F((\sqrt[d]{x})_{x \in \tilde{D}})$, where $\tilde{D} \subset F^\times$ is the preimage of D . For $\sigma \in G$, we have $\sigma(x) = y^d x'$ for some $x' \in \tilde{D}$ and some $y \in F^\times$ (because D is G -stable), and therefore $\sqrt[d]{\sigma(x)} = y \sqrt[d]{x'}$ is in $F(\sqrt[d]{D})$, so this extension is galoisian over F' .

Conversely, suppose that $F(\sqrt[d]{D})$ is galoisian over F' , and let $\tilde{\sigma}$ be an extension of some $\sigma \in G$ to an F' -automorphism of $F(\sqrt[d]{D})$. For every $x \in \tilde{D}$, we have $\tilde{\sigma}(\sqrt[d]{x})^d = \tilde{\sigma}(x) = \sigma(x)$, so $\sigma(x) \in \tilde{D}$ (because it has the d -th root $\tilde{\sigma}(\sqrt[d]{x})$ in $F(\sqrt[d]{D})$), and hence D is G -stable.

Finally, to check that the isomorphism $\text{Gal}(F(\sqrt[d]{D})|F) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(D, {}_dF^\times)$ (when D is G -stable) is G -equivariant, it is enough to check that the pairing $\varphi : \text{Gal}(F(\sqrt[d]{D})|F) \times \tilde{D} \rightarrow {}_dF^\times$ (3.1.1) is G -equivariant in the sense that $\varphi(\sigma.\tau, \sigma.x) = \sigma.\varphi(\tau, x)$. Indeed, for every lift $\tilde{\sigma} \in \text{Gal}(F(\sqrt[d]{D})|F')$ of a $\sigma \in G$, we have $\tilde{\sigma}(\sqrt[d]{x})^d = \sigma(x)$ and

$$\varphi(\sigma.\tau, \sigma.x) = \frac{\tilde{\sigma}\tau\tilde{\sigma}^{-1}(\tilde{\sigma}(\sqrt[d]{x}))}{\tilde{\sigma}(\sqrt[d]{x})} = \frac{\tilde{\sigma}\tau(\sqrt[d]{x})}{\tilde{\sigma}(\sqrt[d]{x})} = \sigma\left(\frac{\tau(\sqrt[d]{x})}{\sqrt[d]{x}}\right) = \sigma.\varphi(\tau, x)$$

for every $\tau \in \text{Gal}(F(\sqrt[d]{D})|F)$ and every $x \in \tilde{D}$. \square

Remark 3.2.3. When d is prime, F' contains a primitive d -th root of 1, and G is a cyclic d -group, the class in H^2 of the extension (3.2.1) has been computed in [15]. In the case of interest to us, F' is a local field, F is finite unramified over F' , d is prime to the residual characteristic, and D is a G -stable “ramified line” (4.1); we will see later (§7) how to compute the class of (3.2.1) from D .

3.3 Orbits and equivalence

Proposition 3.3.1. *The set of cyclic extensions of F of degree d up to F' -isomorphisms is in natural bijection with the set of orbits for the action of G on the set of cyclic subgroups of $F^\times/F^{\times d}$ of order d .*

Proof. Suppose first that the order- d cyclic subgroups $D_1, D_2 \subset F^\times/F^{\times d}$ are in the same G -orbit, so that $D_2 = \sigma(D_1)$ for some $\sigma \in G$, and let $L_1 = F(\sqrt[d]{D_1}), L_2 = F(\sqrt[d]{D_2})$. Let D_1 be generated by the image of $x \in F^\times$, so that D_2 is generated by the image of $\sigma(x)$; we have

$$L_1 = F[T]/(T^d - x), \quad L_2 = F[T]/(T^d - \sigma(x)).$$

Consider the (unique) F' -automorphism $\tilde{\sigma}$ of $F[T]$ such that $\tilde{\sigma}(a) = \sigma(a)$ for $a \in F$ and $\tilde{\sigma}(T) = T$. Composing it with the projection $F[T] \rightarrow L_1$ induces a F' -morphism $L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ which is an F' -isomorphism because L_1 and L_2 have the same degree over F' .

Conversely, if $L_i = F(\sqrt[d]{x_i})$ for some $x_i \in F^\times$ whose images in $F^\times/F^{\times d}$ have order d , and if we have an F' -isomorphism $\tilde{\sigma} : L_1 \rightarrow L_2$, we have to show that $D_2 = \sigma(D_1)$ for some $\sigma \in G$, where $D_i \subset F^\times/F^{\times d}$ is the subgroup generated by the image of x_i . Now, $\tilde{\sigma}(F) = F$ because F is galoisian

over F' , and hence $\tilde{\sigma}|_F = \sigma$ for some $\sigma \in G$. Also, $\sigma(x_1)$ has a d -th root in L_2 (namely $\tilde{\sigma}(\sqrt[d]{x_1})$) and its image has order d in $F^\times/F^{\times d}$, so it generates the same subgroup as the image of x_2 . In other words, $D_2 = \sigma(D_1)$, and we are done. \square

4. Ramified lines

Let K be a local field with finite residue field k of characteristic p and cardinality q . Denote by \mathfrak{o} (resp. \mathfrak{p}) the ring of integers of K (resp. the unique maximal ideal of \mathfrak{o} , so that $k = \mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}$). We have the decomposition $\mathfrak{o}^\times = U_1 \cdot k^\times$ in which $U_1 = 1 + \mathfrak{p}$ is a \mathbf{Z}_p -module. As a result, for every integer $e > 0$ such that $e \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, we have the exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow k^\times/k^{\times e} \rightarrow K^\times/K^{\times e} \xrightarrow{\bar{w}} \mathbf{Z}/e\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

in which \bar{w} is induced by the normalised valuation $w : K^\times \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$.

4.1 The definition of ramified lines

The set $\mathcal{R}_e(K)$ of *ramified lines* consists of subgroups $D \subset K^\times/K^{\times e}$ such that the restriction $D \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/e\mathbf{Z}$ of \bar{w} to D is an isomorphism; ramified lines are precisely the images of sections of \bar{w} . As the conjugation action of $\mathbf{Z}/e\mathbf{Z}$ on $k^\times/k^{\times e}$ resulting from the above exact sequence is trivial, the number of ramified lines is equal to the order $g = \gcd(q-1, e)$ of

$$H^1(\mathbf{Z}/e\mathbf{Z}, k^\times/k^{\times e})_1 = \text{Hom}(\mathbf{Z}/e\mathbf{Z}, k^\times/k^{\times e}) = k^\times/k^{\times e}.$$

Every uniformiser π of K gives a bijection of the set $\mathcal{R}_e(K)$ of ramified lines with the group $k^\times/k^{\times e}$; to the class $\bar{u} \in k^\times/k^{\times e}$ of $u \in k^\times$ corresponds the ramified line generated by the image of $u\pi$ in $K^\times/K^{\times e}$. Notice that the map $x \mapsto x^{\frac{q-1}{e}}$ identifies the group $k^\times/k^{\times e}$ with the kernel ${}_e k^\times$ of $(\)^e : k^\times \rightarrow k^\times$. With this identification, to $\zeta \in {}_e k^\times$ corresponds the ramified line generated by $u\pi$ for any $u \in k^\times$ such that $u^{\frac{q-1}{e}} = \zeta$.

4.2 The galoisian action on the set of ramified lines

For every $f > 0$, let K_f be the unramified extension of K of degree f , k_f its residue field, and $G_f = \text{Gal}(K_f|K)$. The group G_f acts on the set $\mathcal{R}_e(K_f)$ of ramified lines in $K_f^\times/K_f^{\times e}$. Indeed, if D is generated by the image of a uniformiser ϖ of K_f , then $\sigma(D)$ is generated by the image of the uniformiser $\sigma(\varpi)$ and hence $\sigma(D)$ is a ramified line. Also, $\text{Card } \mathcal{R}_e(K_f) = g_f$, where $g_f = \gcd(q^f - 1, e)$ (4.1).

For every uniformiser π of K , the bijection $k_f^\times/k_f^{\times e} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_e(K_f)$ (4.1) is G_f -equivariant. Therefore $\text{Card } \mathcal{R}_e(K_f)^{G_f} = g$, where $g = \text{gcd}(q-1, e)$ is the order of ${}_{q-1}(k_f^\times/k_f^{\times e})$.

Proposition 4.2.1. *The number of orbits for the G_f -action on $\mathcal{R}_e(K_f)$ is $\sum_{t|g_f} \phi(t)/\chi_q(t)$ (1.8.1).*

Proof. Using a uniformiser of K , this amounts to computing the number of orbits for the action of G_f on $k_f^\times/k_f^{\times e}$. As the canonical generator of G_f acts on the cyclic group $k_f^\times/k_f^{\times e}$ of order g_f by the automorphism $()^q$, the result follows from (1.8.1). \square

4.3 The cohomology class of a stable ramified line, first definition

Suppose that $q^f \equiv 1 \pmod{e}$ (if not, replace e by $g_f = \text{gcd}(e, q^f - 1)$). Denote the canonical generator of G_f by σ , let π be a uniformiser of K and let $D \subset K_f^\times/K_f^{\times e}$ be the ramified line generated by $\xi\pi$ for some $\xi \in k_f^\times$. If D is G_f -stable, which amounts to $\sigma(D) = D$, then $(\xi^q\pi)(\xi\pi)^{-1} \in k_f^{\times e}$ or equivalently $\bar{\xi}^{q-1} = \bar{1}$ in $k_f^\times/k_f^{\times e}$.

If we replace π by $\pi' = u\pi$ ($u \in k^\times$), then $\bar{\xi}$ is replaced by $\bar{\xi}' = \bar{\xi}\bar{u}$. But the norm map $N_f : k_f^\times \rightarrow k^\times$ is surjective, so $u = a^{1+q+\dots+q^{f-1}}$ for some $a \in k_f^\times$, and hence $[\xi] = [\xi']$ in $H^2(G_f, k_f^\times/k_f^{\times n})_q$. Thus, the map $\mathcal{R}_e(K_f)^{G_f} \rightarrow H^2(G_f, k_f^\times/k_f^{\times e})_q$ does not depend on the choice of π . This defines the class D in $H^2(G_f, k_f^\times/k_f^{\times n})_q$.

4.4 The cohomology class of a stable ramified line, second definition

We assigns a class in $H^2(G_f, {}_e k_f^\times)_q$ to $D \in \mathcal{R}_e(K_f)^{G_f}$ following [8, 6.1]. If D is generated by $\xi\pi$, then $\xi^{q-1} = \alpha^e$ for some $\alpha \in k_f^\times$; put $\zeta = N_f(\alpha)$. We have seen (2.1) that ζ defines a class in $H^2(G_f, {}_e k_f^\times)_q$ which does not depend on the choice of α . Moreover, if we replace π by $\pi' = u\pi$ ($u \in k^\times$), then ξ gets replaced by $\xi' = \xi u^{-1}$, and then $\xi'^{q-1} = \xi^{q-1}(u^{-1})^{q-1} = \alpha^e$, so we may use the same α for π' as for π . In other words, the class $[\zeta]$ depends only on D . We thus get a similar map $\mathcal{R}_e(K_f)^{G_f} \rightarrow H^2(G_f, {}_e k_f^\times)_q$.

4.5 The compatibility of the two definitions

Recall that we have an isomorphism $H^2(G_f, k_f^\times/k_f^{\times e})_q \rightarrow H^2(G_f, {}_e k_f^\times)_q$ (2.2); let us show that it is compatible with the two maps from $\mathcal{R}_e(K_f)^{G_f}$.

Proposition 4.5.1. *When $q^f \equiv 1 \pmod{e}$, the two definitions of the cohomology class of $D \in \mathcal{R}_e(K_f)^{G_f}$ are compatible with the above isomorphism.*

Proof. This follows from the preceding constructions and (2.2.1). \square

4.6 The restriction map

Let $f' > 0$ be a multiple of f . If $D \in \mathcal{R}_e(K_f)$ is a ramified line, generated by the image of some uniformiser ϖ of K_f , then the image of ϖ in $K_{f'}^\times/K_{f'}^{\times e}$ generates a ramified line, defining the map $\mathcal{R}_e(K_f) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_e(K_{f'})$. It sends G_f -stable ramified lines to $G_{f'}$ -stable ones, so we get the following diagram in which the lower horizontal arrow is the restriction map (2.3.3)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{R}_e(K_f)^{G_f} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{R}_e(K_{f'})^{G_{f'}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{H}^2(G_f, k_f^\times/k_f^{\times e})_q & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^2(G_{f'}, k_{f'}^\times/k_{f'}^{\times e})_q \end{array} \quad (4.6.1)$$

Proposition 4.6.2. *The diagram (4.6.1) is commutative.*

Proof. This follows from (1.7.2) upon choosing a uniformiser of K . \square

5. Totally tamely ramified extensions

Let $e > 0$ be an integer $\not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Let us first study the set $\mathcal{T}_{e,1}(K)$ of (K -isomorphism classes of) totally ramified extensions of K of degree e .

5.1 The parametrisation of $\mathcal{T}_{e,1}(K)$

Proposition 5.1.1. *The set $\mathcal{T}_{e,1}(K)$ of totally ramified extensions of K of degree e is in canonical bijection with the set $\mathcal{R}_e(K)$ of ramified lines in $K^\times/K^{\times e}$. In particular, the cardinality of $\mathcal{T}_{e,1}(K)$ is $g = \gcd(q-1, e)$.*

Proof. For every uniformiser π of K , the polynomial $T^e - \pi$ is irreducible (Eisenstein's criterion) and the extension $F(\sqrt[e]{\pi})$ is totally ramified of degree e . Conversely, let $L|K$ be a totally ramified extension of degree e (so that the residue field of L is k), let π be a uniformiser of K , and write $\pi = u\xi\varpi^e$, where u (resp. ϖ) is a 1-unit (resp. uniformiser) in L , and $\xi \in k^\times$. Since the group of 1-units of L is a \mathbf{Z}_p -module and $e \in \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$, there is a (unique) 1-unit v of L such that $u = v^e$, so the uniformiser $\xi^{-1}\pi$ of K has the e -th root $v\varpi$ in L and therefore $L = K(\sqrt[e]{\xi^{-1}\pi})$.

For any two uniformisers π_1, π_2 of K , the extensions $K(\sqrt[e]{\pi_1}), K(\sqrt[e]{\pi_2})$ are K -isomorphic if and only if the unit $\pi_1/\pi_2 \in \mathfrak{o}^\times$ is in $\mathfrak{o}^{\times e}$, which happens precisely when π_1 and π_2 generate the same ramified line in $K^\times/K^{\times e}$. This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 5.1.4. *For $L \in \mathcal{T}_{e,1}(K)$, the group $\text{Aut}_K(L)$ is canonically isomorphic to ${}_e k^\times$ and hence it is cyclic of order $g = \text{gcd}(q-1, e)$.*

Proof. Indeed, $L = K(\sqrt[e]{\pi})$ for some uniformiser π of K , and the K -conjugates of $\sqrt[e]{\pi}$ in L are precisely $\xi \sqrt[e]{\pi}$, where ξ is an e -th root of 1 in K . The map $\sigma \mapsto \sigma(\sqrt[e]{\pi})/\sqrt[e]{\pi}$ is thus an isomorphism $\text{Aut}_K(L) \rightarrow {}_e k^\times$.

This isomorphism is independent of the choice of π . Indeed, every other uniformiser π' of K such that $L = K(\sqrt[e]{\pi'})$ is of the form $\pi' = \varepsilon^e \pi$ for some $\varepsilon \in k^\times$ (ignoring 1-units of K , which we can). We may thus take $\varepsilon \sqrt[e]{\pi}$ for $\sqrt[e]{\pi'}$, and we have

$$\frac{\sigma(\sqrt[e]{\pi'})}{\sqrt[e]{\pi'}} = \frac{\sigma(\varepsilon \sqrt[e]{\pi})}{\varepsilon \sqrt[e]{\pi}} = \frac{\varepsilon \sigma(\sqrt[e]{\pi})}{\varepsilon \sqrt[e]{\pi}} = \frac{\sigma(\sqrt[e]{\pi})}{\sqrt[e]{\pi}}$$

for every $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_K(L)$, which was to be proved. \square

Corollary 5.1.5. *Some $L \in \mathcal{T}_{e,1}(K)$ is galoisian over K if and only if e divides $q-1$. If so, then every $L \in \mathcal{T}_{e,1}(K)$ is galoisian (and indeed cyclic) over K .*

Proof. A finite separable extension L of K is galoisian over K if and only if $\text{Aut}_K(L)$ has order $[L : K]$. For an $L \in \mathcal{T}_{e,1}(K)$, this happens precisely when $\text{gcd}(q-1, e) = e$ (5.1.4), or equivalently when e divides $q-1$. \square

5.2 Serre's mass formula in tame degrees

For the next corollary, we need to recall the statement of Serre's mass formula [14]. Let $n > 0$ be any integer and denote by $\mathcal{T}_{n,1}(K)$ the set of K -isomorphism classes of finite (separable) totally ramified extensions of K of ramification index n . For every $L \in \mathcal{T}_{n,1}(K)$, put $c_K(L) = w(\delta_{L|K}) - (n-1)$, where $\delta_{L|K}$ is the discriminant of $L|K$. The mass formula asserts that

$$\sum_{L \in \mathcal{T}_{n,1}(K)} \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}_K(L)|} q^{-c_K(L)} = n. \quad (5.2.1)$$

where $|\text{Aut}_K(L)|$ is the order of the group of K -automorphisms of L .

Corollary 5.2.2. *Serre's mass formula (5.2.1) holds over K in every tame degree e (prime to p).*

Proof. Indeed, for every $L \in \mathcal{T}_{e,1}(K)$, we have $c_K(L) = 0$, $|\text{Aut}_K(L)| = g$ (5.1.4) and there are g such L (5.1.1), where $g = \gcd(q-1, e)$. \square

In fact we can do slightly better if we use the results of [4] where a new proof of Serre's mass formula in degree p was given. Let \tilde{K} be a separable algebraic closure of K , and let $E \subset \tilde{K}$ run through totally ramified extensions of degree n over K , which we express by $[E] \in \mathcal{T}_{n,1}(K)$. Serre [14] shows that (5.2.1) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{E \subset \tilde{K}, [E] \in \mathcal{T}_{n,1}(K)} q^{-c_K(E)} = n. \quad (5.2.3)$$

Proposition 5.2.4. *Serre's mass formula (5.2.3) holds over K in degree $n = ep$ (with $e \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$).*

Proof. Let $E \subset \tilde{K}$ be a totally ramified extension of degree ep over K , and let L be the maximal tamely ramified extension of K in E ; we have $[L : K] = e$. By the formula for the transitivity of the discriminant, we have

$$w(\delta_{E|K}) = (e-1)p + w_L(\delta_{E|L})$$

where w (resp. w_L) is the normalised valuation of K (resp. L). It follows that $c_K(E) = c_L(E)$. Next, notice that there are precisely e totally ramified extensions of K in \tilde{K} of degree e over K , since there are $g = \gcd(q-1, e)$ isomorphism classes in $\mathcal{T}_{e,1}(K)$ (5.1.1), and each class $[L]$ is represented by e/g extensions $L \subset \tilde{K}$, because $g = |\text{Aut}_K(L)|$ (5.1.4). Now, by decomposing the sum $\sum_{[E:K]=ep}$ (5.2.3) as $\sum_{[L:K]=e} \sum_{[E:L]=p}$, we have

$$\sum_{[E:K]=ep} q^{-c_K(E)} = \sum_{[L:K]=e} \sum_{[E:L]=p} q^{-c_K(E)} = \sum_{[L:K]=e} \sum_{[E:L]=p} q^{-c_L(E)}.$$

But $\sum_{[E:L]=p} q^{-c_L(E)} = p$ by [4, th. 35], and hence $\sum_{[E:K]=ep} q^{-c_K(E)} = ep$, as was to be proved. \square

Remark 5.2.5. The same dévissage reduces the proof of (5.2.3) for arbitrary n to the case $n = p^f$. Note that a proof of (5.2.1) for n prime can also be found in [4].

6. The orthogonality relation

Let us make some remarks about the special case $q \equiv 1 \pmod{e}$. More precisely, suppose that the (cyclic) group ${}_e K^\times \subset K^\times$ of e -th roots of 1 in K

has order e , and let $M = K(\sqrt[e]{K^\times})$ be the maximal abelian extension of K of exponent dividing e . We have the perfect pairing (3.1.1)

$$\text{Gal}(M|K) \times (K^\times/K^{\times e}) \longrightarrow {}_e K^\times$$

of free rank-2 $(\mathbf{Z}/e\mathbf{Z})$ -modules, defined by $(\sigma, \bar{x}) = \sigma(y)/y$ for any $y \in M^\times$ such that $y^e = x$.

Proposition 6.0.1. *The orthogonal complement of the inertia subgroup Γ_0 of $\text{Gal}(M|K)$ is the subgroup $k^\times/k^{\times e}$ of $K^\times/K^{\times e}$ (and conversely).*

Proof. Indeed, the fixed field M^{Γ_0} of the inertia subgroup is the maximal unramified extension M_0 of K in M . It is easy to see that, ω being a generator of k^\times , the extension $K(\sqrt[e]{\omega})$ of K in M is unramified and of degree e over K . At the same time, the ramification index of $M|K$ is at least e , as it contains $K(\sqrt[e]{\pi})$ for any uniformiser π of K . As $[M : K] = e^2$, we must have $M_0 = K(\sqrt[e]{k^\times})$, which was to be proved. \square

Proposition 6.0.2. *For every subgroup $D \subset K^\times/K^{\times e}$, the maximal unramified extension of K in $K(\sqrt[e]{D})$ is $K(\sqrt[e]{D_0})$, with $D_0 = D \cap (k^\times/k^{\times e})$.*

Proof. Let $L = K(\sqrt[e]{D})$, and let L_0 be the maximal unramified extension of K in L ; it is clear that $K(\sqrt[e]{D_0}) \subset L_0$. Conversely, if $C \subset k^\times/k^{\times e}$ is the subgroup such that $L_0 = K(\sqrt[e]{C})$, then $C \subset D$ and hence $C \subset D_0$. It follows that $C = D_0$. \square

Remark 6.0.3. As a corollary, $L = K(\sqrt[e]{D})$ is totally ramified over K if and only if $D \cap (k^\times/k^{\times e}) = \{1\}$. The analogue of (6.0.1) in degree p can be found in [3] (§1) if K has characteristic 0 and in [3] (§5) if K has characteristic p .

7. The parametrisation of $\mathcal{T}_{e,f}(K)$

Recall that $\mathcal{T}_{e,f}(K)$ is the set of K -isomorphism classes of separable extensions of K of ramification index e ($\not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$) and residual degree f . We will see that it can be identified with the set of orbits for the action of $G_f = \text{Gal}(K_f|K)$ on the set $\mathcal{R}_e(K_f)$ of ramified lines in $K_f^\times/K_f^{\times e}$.

There is a canonical surjection $\mathcal{T}_{e,1}(K_f) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{e,f}(K)$, and a canonical bijection $\mathcal{T}_{e,1}(K_f) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_e(K_f)$ (by (5.1.1), applied to K_f), so the question is: When are the extensions defined by two distinct ramified lines in $K_f^\times/K_f^{\times e}$ isomorphic as extensions of K (although they are not K_f -isomorphic)?

7.1 The parametrisation of $\mathcal{T}_{e,f}(K)$

Proposition 7.1.1. *The extensions L, L' corresponding to two ramified lines $D, D' \subset K_f^\times/K_f^{\times e}$ are K -isomorphic if and only if $D' = \sigma(D)$ for some $\sigma \in G_f$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of (3.3.1), although there the extensions L, L' were kummerian whereas here they need not even be galoisian (over K_f). Suppose first that $D' = \sigma(D)$, and let ϖ be a uniformiser of K_f whose image generates D , so that the image of $\sigma(\varpi)$ generates D' , and

$$L = K_f[T]/(T^e - \varpi), \quad L' = K_f[T]/(T^e - \sigma(\varpi)).$$

Consider the (unique) K -automorphism $\tilde{\sigma}$ of $K_f[T]$ such that $\tilde{\sigma}(a) = \sigma(a)$ for every $a \in K_f$ and $\tilde{\sigma}(T) = T$. Composing it with the projection $K_f[T] \rightarrow L'$ induces a K -morphism $L \rightarrow L'$ which is a K -isomorphism.

Conversely, if $L = K_f(\sqrt[e]{\varpi})$ for some uniformiser ϖ of K_f , and if we have a K -isomorphism $\tilde{\sigma} : L \rightarrow L'$, then its restriction to the maximal unramified extensions of K in L and L' is a K -automorphism $\sigma : K_f \rightarrow K_f$, and the uniformiser $\sigma(\varpi)$ of K_f has the e -th root $\tilde{\sigma}(\sqrt[e]{\varpi})$ in L' , so $L' = K_f(\sqrt[e]{\sigma(\varpi)})$. In other words, $D' = \sigma(D)$. \square

Corollary 7.1.2. *The set $\mathcal{T}_{e,f}(K)$ is in natural bijection with the set of orbits $\mathcal{R}_e(K_f)//G_f$ for the action of G_f on $\mathcal{R}_e(K_f)$.* \square

Corollary 7.1.3. *An extension $L \in \mathcal{T}_{e,1}(K_f)$ is galoisian over K if and only if the corresponding G_f -orbit consists of a single $D \in \mathcal{R}_e(K_f)$ and $q^f \equiv 1 \pmod{e}$.*

Proof. Indeed, for L to be galoisian over K it must be galoisian over K_f , which is equivalent to $q^f \equiv 1 \pmod{e}$ (5.1.5), and all K -conjugates of L must coincide, which is equivalent to $D \in \mathcal{R}_e(K_f)^{G_f}$ (3.2.2). \square

Remark 7.1.4. It follows from the parametrisation (7.1.2) that the set $\mathcal{T}_{e,f}(K)$ has $\sum_{t|g_f} \phi(t)/\chi_q(t)$ elements, in the notation of (4.2.1), where $g_f = \gcd(q^f - 1, e)$. If $q^f \equiv 1 \pmod{e}$ (in which case $g_f = e$), precisely $g = \gcd(q - 1, e)$ of these are galoisian over K , by (7.1.3) and (4.2). If $q \equiv 1 \pmod{e}$ (in which case $g_f = g = e$), the G_f -action on the set $\mathcal{R}_e(K_f)$ is trivial, so $\mathcal{T}_{e,f}(K)$ contains e extensions and all of them are abelian over K (1.6.2). These are the only galoisian or abelian cases. Cf. [10, Chapter 16].

Remark 7.1.5. For $L \in \mathcal{T}_{e,f}(K)$ galoisian of group $G = \text{Gal}(L|K)$ and inertia subgroup G_0 , the short exact sequence $1 \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow G/G_0 \rightarrow 1$ splits if and only if $L = K_f(\sqrt[e]{\pi})$ for some uniformiser π of K . Indeed, suppose first that $L = K_f(\sqrt[e]{\pi})$, and let $E = K(\sqrt[e]{\pi})$. As E is totally ramified of degree e over K , the extension L of E is unramified (and hence cyclic) of degree f ; it can be seen that $\text{Gal}(L|E)$ is a supplement of G_0 in G . Conversely, if G_0 has a supplement S in G , then the extension L^S of K is totally ramified of degree e and hence of the form $K(\sqrt[e]{\pi})$ for some uniformiser π of K , and $L = K_f(\sqrt[e]{\pi})$. \square

7.2 The presentation of the group

Suppose that $q^f \equiv 1 \pmod{e}$ and let $D \in \mathcal{R}_e(K_f)^{G_f}$, so that the extension $L = K_f(\sqrt[e]{D})$ is in $\mathcal{T}_{e,f}(K)$ and galoisian over K (7.1.3). The inertia subgroup $\Gamma_0 = \text{Gal}(L|K_f)$ of $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(L|K)$ is canonically isomorphic to $\text{Hom}(D, {}_eK_f^\times) = {}_eK_f^\times$ (because D is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}/e\mathbf{Z}$ by \bar{w}), or more simply by (5.1.4), and the identification $\Gamma_0 = {}_eK_f^\times$ is G_f -equivariant (3.2.2). We thus have an extension

$$1 \rightarrow {}_eK_f^\times \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow G_f \rightarrow 1 \quad (7.2.1)$$

and we would like to compute its class in $H^2(G_f, {}_eK_f^\times)_q$ in terms of the parameter D of L .

Proposition 7.2.2. *The class of the extension (7.2.1) is the same as the class $[D] \in H^2(G_f, {}_eK_f^\times)_q$ of D (4.5.1).*

Proof. We will actually compute a presentation of the group Γ (as in [8] and observe that it is the extension corresponding to the class of D as defined in (4.4).

Let π be a uniformiser of K and suppose that the G_f -stable ramified line D is generated by (the image of) $\zeta\pi$ for some $\zeta \in k_f^\times$ (such that $\zeta^{q-1} = \alpha^e$ for some $\alpha \in k_f^\times$), so that $L = K_f(\sqrt[e]{\zeta\pi})$.

Choose a generator τ of Γ_0 , so that $\tau(\sqrt[e]{\zeta\pi}) = \zeta\sqrt[e]{\zeta\pi}$ for a certain (generator) $\zeta \in {}_eK_f^\times$. Notice that $N_f(\zeta)^{q-1} = 1$, so $N_f(\alpha)^e = 1$, and hence $N_f(\alpha) = \zeta^s$ for some $s \pmod{e}$. As $N_f(\alpha) \in k^\times$, we must have $(q-1)s \equiv 0 \pmod{e}$.

Also choose a lift $\tilde{\sigma} \in \Gamma$ of the canonical generator $\sigma \in G_f$. Now,

$$\tilde{\sigma}(\sqrt[e]{\zeta\pi})^e = \tilde{\sigma}(\zeta\pi) = \zeta^{q-1}\zeta\pi = (\alpha\sqrt[e]{\zeta\pi})^e,$$

so that $\tilde{\sigma}(\sqrt[e]{\zeta\pi}) = \zeta^j\alpha\sqrt[e]{\zeta\pi}$ for some $j \pmod{e}$. Replacing $\tilde{\sigma}$ by $\tau^{-j}\tilde{\sigma}$, we may assume that $\tilde{\sigma}(\sqrt[e]{\zeta\pi}) = \alpha\sqrt[e]{\zeta\pi}$. We then have $\tilde{\sigma}^2(\sqrt[e]{\zeta\pi}) = \tilde{\sigma}(\alpha)\alpha\sqrt[e]{\zeta\pi}$ and so on, hence

$$\tilde{\sigma}^f(\sqrt[e]{\zeta\pi}) = N_f(\alpha)\sqrt[e]{\zeta\pi} = \zeta^s\sqrt[e]{\zeta\pi} = \tau^s(\sqrt[e]{\zeta\pi}).$$

It follows that $\tilde{\sigma}^f = \tau^s$. Finally,

$$\tau^q\tilde{\sigma}(\sqrt[e]{\zeta\pi}) = \tau^q(\alpha\sqrt[e]{\zeta\pi}) = \zeta^q\alpha\sqrt[e]{\zeta\pi} = \tilde{\sigma}(\zeta\sqrt[e]{\zeta\pi}) = \tilde{\sigma}\tau(\sqrt[e]{\zeta\pi}),$$

and hence $\tilde{\sigma}\tau\tilde{\sigma}^{-1} = \tau^q$. We have found that the group Γ (of order ef) is generated by $\langle \tau, \tilde{\sigma} \rangle$, and the relations

$$\tau^e = 1, \quad \tilde{\sigma}^f = \tau^s, \quad \tilde{\sigma}\tau\tilde{\sigma}^{-1} = \tau^q$$

hold. But we have seen that the group (1.5.1) with this presentation has ef elements, so this is indeed a presentation for Γ . So the class of D is the same as the class of the extension (7.2.1). \square

7.3 The invariants of an orbit

We are now going to review a certain number of invariants of a G_f -orbit in $\mathcal{R}_e(K_f)$ which recover the invariants of the corresponding $L \in \mathcal{T}_{e,f}(K)$ such as the galoisian closure \tilde{L} of L over K , or the smallest extension $K_{\tilde{L}}$ of K_f for which the exact sequence $1 \rightarrow \Gamma_0 \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma/\Gamma_0 \rightarrow 1$ splits, where $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(LK_{\tilde{L}}|K)$ and Γ_0 is the inertia subgroup of Γ .

(7.3.1) In general, let $L \in \mathcal{T}_{e,f}(K)$, and let \tilde{L} be the galoisian closure of L over K . It is clear that \tilde{L} is tamely ramified over K , so $\tilde{L} \in \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{e},cf}(K)$ for some multiple \tilde{e} of e and some $c > 0$. As \tilde{e} (and hence e) divides $q^{cf} - 1$ (7.1.3), c is a multiple of the order r of q^f in $(\mathbb{Z}/e\mathbb{Z})^\times$, and therefore $K_{rf} \subset \tilde{L}$. Replacing L by LK_{rf} , we assume that $q^f \equiv 1 \pmod{e}$.

Let $D \in \mathcal{R}_e(K_f)$ be a ramified line representing the G_f -orbit corresponding to L and let $\xi \in k_f^\times$ be such that D is generated by $\xi\pi$ (so that $L = K_f(\sqrt[\xi]{\pi})$). The order d of ξ^{q-1} in $k_f^\times/k_f^{\times e}$ depends only on L , not on the choices of D and π , and the galoisian closure of L over K is $\tilde{L} = K_{df}(\sqrt[\xi]{\pi})$ (2.3.1). In particular, $\tilde{e} = e$.

Indeed, if we replace π by $\pi' = u\pi$ for some $u \in k^\times$ and D by $\sigma(D)$ for some $\sigma \in G_f$, then ξ gets replaced by $\sigma(\xi u^{-1})$. But then ξ^{q-1} and $\sigma(\xi u^{-1})^{q-1}$ have the same order because σ is an automorphism of $k_f^\times/k_f^{\times e}$ and $u^{q-1} = 1$.

(7.3.2) Now suppose that $L \in \mathcal{T}_{e,f}(K)$ is galoisian over K . What is the smallest $\hat{c} > 0$ such that the extension $\hat{L} = LK_{\hat{c}f}$ splits over K in the sense that $\hat{L} = K_{\hat{c}f}(\sqrt[\xi]{\pi})$ for some uniformiser π of K ? This is equivalent to the extension $\text{Gal}(\hat{L}|K)$ of $G_{\hat{c}f}$ by ${}_eK_{\hat{c}f}^\times$ being split. Now, the class in $H^2(G_f, {}_eK_f^\times)_q$ of the extension $1 \rightarrow {}_eK_f^\times \rightarrow \text{Gal}(L|K) \rightarrow G_f \rightarrow 1$ is the same as the class of its parameter $D \in \mathcal{R}_e(K_f)^{G_f}$ (4.5.1), (7.2.2), and hence \hat{c} is the order of this class (2.3.4).

8. Examples

Recall the notation in force: K is a local field with finite residue field k of characteristic p and cardinality q . For $f > 0$, K_f is the unramified extension of K of degree f , k_f is its residue field, and $G_f = \text{Gal}(K_f|K)$. In order to write down extensions of K explicitly, we choose a uniformiser π of K and a compatible system of generators ω_f of the cyclic groups k_f^\times . For $e > 0$

such that $e \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, $\mathcal{T}_{e,f}(K)$ is the set of K -isomorphism classes of extensions of K of ramification index e and residual degree f . The choice of π allows us to identify $\mathcal{T}_{e,f}(K)$ with the set of orbits for the action of G_f on $k_f^\times/k_f^{\times e}$.

We compute all \mathfrak{S}_3 -extensions of K ($p \neq 3$), all tame \mathfrak{S}_3 -extensions of K ($p = 3$) and, for every prime $l \neq p$, all galoisian extensions of K of degree l^3 which are not abelian over K . We also analyse all extensions L in $\mathcal{T}_{3,2}(K)$ ($p \neq 3$) (8.2) or $\mathcal{T}_{4,2}(K)$ ($p \neq 2$) (8.6) by determining their galoisian closure \tilde{L} over K and the smallest \tilde{f} such that $\tilde{L}K_{\tilde{f}}$ splits over K in the sense of (7.3.2).

Proposition 8.1. *If $q \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$, then K has a unique \mathfrak{S}_3 -extension, namely $K(\sqrt[3]{1}, \sqrt[3]{\pi})$. If $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, then K has no \mathfrak{S}_3 -extensions, and if $p = 3$, then K has no tamely ramified \mathfrak{S}_3 -extensions.*

Proof. Let L be an \mathfrak{S}_3 -extension of K . If $p \neq 3$, we have $(e, f) = (3, 2)$ (so L is tame even when $p = 2$): for in all other cases \mathfrak{S}_3 would have to have a quotient of order 3. A similar reasoning shows that if $p = 3$, then $e \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, making L wildly ramified over K .

So assume that $p \neq 3$. If $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, then every $L \in \mathcal{T}_{3,2}(K)$ is abelian over K , so K doesn't have any \mathfrak{S}_3 -extensions. If $q \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$, then the only extension in $\mathcal{T}_{3,2}(K)$ which is galoisian is $L = K(\sqrt[3]{1}, \sqrt[3]{\pi})$, and $\text{Gal}(L|K) = \mathfrak{S}_3$. \square

Remark 8.2. When $p = 3$, \mathfrak{S}_3 -extensions of K correspond bijectively to separable cubic extensions which are not cyclic over K ; they are classified in [4]. (More generally, for any p , all separable extensions of degree p over K are parametrised, and the ones which are cyclic have been characterised). Suppose now that $p \neq 3$. If $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, then $\mathcal{T}_{3,2}(K)$ consists of three extensions, all three abelian (in fact cyclic) and split over K . If $q \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$, then $\mathcal{T}_{3,2}(K)$ consists of two extensions, the \mathfrak{S}_3 -extension $K(\sqrt[3]{1}, \sqrt[3]{\pi})$ and the extension $L = K_2(\sqrt[3]{\omega_2\pi})$ which is not galoisian over K . The galoisian closure of L over K is $\tilde{L} = K_6(\sqrt[3]{\pi})$ which is split over K . The special case $K = \mathbf{Q}_2$, $\pi = 2$ is treated in [7, Beispiel 3.1].

(8.3) Let l be a prime. Recall that there are exactly two groups Γ of order l^3 which is not commutative; see for example [2]. The centre $Z \subset \Gamma$ of both these groups has order l , and the quotient Γ/Z is commutative of exponent l (and order l^2). For $l = 2$, they are the dihedral group $\mathcal{D}_{4,2}$ and the quaternionic group $\mathcal{Q}_{4,2}$ (1.5.2). When $l \neq 2$, one Γ has exponent l (the ‘‘Heisenberg group’’ \mathfrak{H}_{l^3}) and the other has exponent l^2 . The latter is the twisted product $(\mathbf{Z}/l^2\mathbf{Z}) \times_l (U_1/U_2)$, where l is the natural action of $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{Z}/l^i\mathbf{Z}) = (\mathbf{Z}/l^i\mathbf{Z})^\times = \mathbf{Z}_l^\times/U_i$ (with $U_j = 1 + l^j\mathbf{Z}_l$). We denote this group by $\mathcal{D}_{l^2, l}$. (More generally, one has the twisted product $\mathcal{D}_{l^n, l^{n-r}} = (\mathbf{Z}/l^n\mathbf{Z}) \times_l (U_r/U_n)$ of order l^{2n-r} for every $n > 0$ and every $r \in [1, n]$.)

Lemma 8.4. *If K has a galoisian extension of degree l^3 ($l \neq p$) which is not abelian, then $(e, f) = (l^2, l)$ and $v_l(q - 1) = 1$.*

Proof. If K has such an extension L , then K has an abelian extension of degree l^2 and exponent l (8.1), so we must have $v_l(q - 1) > 0$ (7.2.4). Next, we must have $(e, f) = (l^2, l)$ because $L|K$ is not abelian. For the same reason, $q \not\equiv 1 \pmod{l^2}$, so $v_l(q - 1) = 1$. \square

Proposition 8.5. *If $p \neq 2$, then K has a $\mathfrak{D}_{4,2}$ -extension or a $\mathfrak{Q}_{4,2}$ -extension if and only if $q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$. If so, K has a unique $\mathfrak{D}_{4,2}$ -extension and a unique $\mathfrak{Q}_{4,2}$ -extension.*

Proof. If K has an extension of degree 2^3 which is galoisian but not abelian, then we must have $v_2(q - 1) = 1$ (or equivalently $q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$) and $(e, f) = (4, 2)$, by (8.4).

Suppose that $q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$. There are three orbits for the action of G_2 on $k_2^\times/k_2^{\times 4}$, namely $\{1\}$, $\{\bar{\omega}_2^2\}$, and $\{\bar{\omega}_2, \bar{\omega}_2^{-1}\}$. So there are two extensions in $\mathcal{T}_{4,2}(K)$ which are galoisian over K , namely $L^{(0)} = K_2(\sqrt[4]{\pi})$ and $L^{(2)} = K_2(\sqrt[4]{\omega_2^2\pi})$. Of these, $L^{(0)}$ is split over K , so $\text{Gal}(L^{(0)}|K)$ is the dihedral group $\mathfrak{D}_{4,2}$ (1.5.2), whereas $L^{(2)}$ is not split over K , so $\text{Gal}(L^{(2)}|K)$ is the quaternionic group $\mathfrak{Q}_{4,2}$ (1.5.2). This concludes the proof. \square

Remark 8.6. An explicit generation of the $\mathfrak{Q}_{4,2}$ -extension when $K = \mathbf{Q}_p$ (and $p \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$) can be found in [6]. Let us analyse the set $\mathcal{T}_{4,2}(K)$ when $p \neq 2$. If $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then it consists of *four* extensions, and all four are abelian over K , but only two of them are split over K ; the other two (which are cyclic) split in $\mathcal{T}_{4,4}(K)$. If $q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$, then $\mathcal{T}_{4,2}(K)$ has only *three* extensions, only two of which are galoisian over K , and only one of them (the $\mathfrak{D}_{4,2}$ -extension, namely $K(\sqrt[4]{1}, \sqrt[4]{\pi})$) is split; the other (the $\mathfrak{Q}_{4,2}$ -extension) splits in $\mathcal{T}_{4,4}(K)$. The galoisian closure of the third $L \in \mathcal{T}_{4,2}(K)$ is $\tilde{L} = LK_4$, and \tilde{L} splits only in $\mathcal{T}_{4,8}(K)$.

Proposition 8.7. *If $l \neq 2, p$, then K has a galoisian extension L of degree l^3 which is not abelian if and only if $v_l(q - 1) = 1$. If so, there are l such extensions L , and the group $\text{Gal}(L|K)$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{D}_{l^2, l} = (\mathbf{Z}/l^2\mathbf{Z}) \times_l (U_1/U_2)$ (8.3) for each L .*

Proof. If K has such an extension, then $v_l(q - 1) = 1$ and $(e, f) = (l^2, l)$ (8.4). Conversely, suppose that $v_l(q - 1) = 1$. Extensions in $\mathcal{T}_{l^2, l}(K)$ which are galoisian over K correspond to the fixed points for the action of G_l on $k_l^\times/k_l^{\times l^2}$. This group is cyclic of order l^2 because $q^l \equiv 1 \pmod{l^2}$. As $\text{gcd}(q - 1, l^2) = l$, there are l fixed points, so there are l extensions $L \in \mathcal{T}_{l^2, l}(K)$ galoisian over K ; none of them is abelian over K because l^2 does not divide $q - 1$. For each

such L , the group $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(L|K)$ has order l^3 and contains the cyclic subgroup $\text{Gal}(L|K_l)$ of order l^2 , so Γ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{D}_{l^2,l}$ (8.3). The same conclusion can also be arrived at by showing that $H^2(G_l, k_l^\times/k_l^{\times l^2})_q$ vanishes. \square

Corollary 8.8. *For $l \neq 2$, the Heisenberg group \mathfrak{H}_{l^3} (8.1) does not occur as $\text{Gal}(L|K)$ for any local field K of residual characteristic $p \neq l$. \square*

The reader may wish to analyse the set $\mathcal{T}_{l^2,l}(K)$ in the same way as we analysed $\mathcal{T}_{2^2,2}(K)$ in (8.6).

Example 8.9. Consider the case $q \equiv 1 \pmod{2^2}$. We have seen that every galoisian extension in $\mathcal{T}_{2^2,2}(K)$ is in fact abelian. But for some $m > 2$, there might be galoisian extensions in $\mathcal{T}_{2^m,2}(K)$ which are not abelian. A necessary and sufficient condition for that to happen is that 2^m divide $q^2 - 1$ but not $q - 1$. In view of $v_2(q + 1) = 1$, this condition is equivalent to $v_2(q - 1) = m - 1$.

When $v_2(q - 1) = m - 1$, there are 2^{m-1} extensions $L \in \mathcal{T}_{2^m,2}(K)$ which are galoisian but not abelian; for every such L , the resulting short exact sequence (7.2.1)

$$1 \rightarrow {}_2^m K_2^\times \rightarrow \text{Gal}(L|K) \rightarrow G_2 \rightarrow 1$$

splits because the group $H^2(G_2, k_2^\times/k_2^{\times 2^m})_q$ vanishes. For some related results, see [13, 1.2].

Remark 8.10. It is possible to determine all galoisian extensions of K of degree l^n (for any prime $l \neq p$ and any $n > 0$) by fixing $f = l^b$ and considering $e = l^a$ (such that $a + b = n$). Feit [9] counts the number of G -extensions of K when G has order prime to p ; one should be able to recover his results from the foregoing.

9. Acknowledgements

One of the authors (CSD) wishes to express his heartfelt thanks to Rakesh Yadav, Georg-August-Universität, Göttingen, for supplying [6], and to M. Franck Pierron, Université Paris-Sud, Orsay, for supplying [13].

References

- [1] A. Albert, On p -adic fields and rational division algebras, *Ann. of Math. (2)*, **41** (1940) pp. 674–693.
- [2] K. Conrad, Groups of order p^3 , 31 August (2013), www.math.uconn.edu/~kconrad/blurbs/grouptheory/groups3.pdf.
- [3] C. Dalawat, Further remarks on local discriminants, *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.*, **25** no. 4, (2010) 391–417. Cf. arXiv:0909.2541.

- [4] C. Dalawat, Serre's "formule de masse" in prime degree, *Monatshefte Math.*, **166** (2012), 73–92. Cf. arXiv:1004.2016.
- [5] S. Eilenberg, Topological methods in abstract algebra. Cohomology theory of groups, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **55** (1949) 3–37.
- [6] G. Fujisaki, A remark on quaternion extensions of the rational p -adic field, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.*, **66** no. 8, (1990) 257–259.
- [7] C. Greve, Galoisgruppen von Eisensteinpolynomen über p -adischen Körpern, *Dissertation, Universität Paderborn*, Oktober (2010).
- [8] B. Gross and M. Reeder, Arithmetic invariants of discrete Langlands parameters, *Duke Math. J.*, **154** no. 3, (2010) 431–508. (\$25.00).
- [9] W. Feit, On p -regular extensions of local fields, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **10** (1959) 592–595.
- [10] H. Hasse, *Zahlentheorie*, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, (1969).
- [11] K. Iwasawa On Galois groups of local fields, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **80** (1955) 448–469.
- [12] M. Reeder, Notes on Group Theory, 5 October (2011), <https://www2.bc.edu/~reederma/Groups.pdf>.
- [13] B. Riou-Perrin, Plongement d'une extension diédrale dans une extension diédrale ou quaternionienne, Thèse de troisième cycle, *Publ. math. d'Orsay*, no. **79-04** (1979).
- [14] J.-P. Serre, Une "formule de masse" pour les extensions totalement ramifiées de degré donné d'un corps local, *Comptes Rendus*, **286** (1978) 1031–1036.
- [15] W. Waterhouse, The normal closures of certain Kummer extensions, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, **37** no. 1, (1994) 133–139.