# International Journal of Management Science and Business Administration

Volume 5, Issue 2, January 2019, Pages 30-36

DOI: 10.18775/ijmsba.1849-5664-5419.2014.31.1003

URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.18775/ijmsba.1849-5664-5419.2014.31.1003



## Impact of Welfare Measures on the Quality of Employees Performance with Special Reference to Construction Industry

<sup>1</sup>A.Varadaraj, <sup>2</sup>D. Charumathi <sup>1</sup>Alliance School of Business, Alliance University, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India <sup>2</sup>Measi Institute of Management, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

**Abstract:** The concept of employee welfare is vibrant. Its broad viewpoint and contents are inclined to change, depending on social and economic changes that occur in society. Employee welfare includes various services, benefits and facilities offered to employees by the employers. An organization has to provide welfare facilities to their employees to keep their motivation levels high. The study throws light on impact of welfare measures on the employees' performances with respect to the construction industry. The primary data for the study was collected through a questionnaire. The sample size of the study was 80 and the sample design adopted was systematic random sampling technique.

Keywords: Welfare, Performances, Measures, Impact

## 1. Introduction

An employee who feels appreciated will further be comfortable, satisfied and more prolific. It has leads not only towards higher productivity but also improves the quality of performance which helps to capture the market share which is profitable for the company. A satisfied employee will not look into for other job opportunities and it enables an employer to keep the best talents and record lower employee turnover. Welfare includes anything that is done for the comfort and improvement of employees and is facilitated with over and above the wages. The provision of welfare measures helps in keeping the morale and motivation of the employees high to retain the employees for a longer duration. The welfare measures need not be in monetary terms only, it can also be in any forms. Employee welfare includes monitoring of working conditions, creation of industrial harmony through infrastructure for health, industrial relations and insurance against disease, accident and unemployment for the workers and their families. Employee welfare is a comprehensive term including various services, facilities and amenities provided to employees for their betterment. Welfare measures are something that is available to employees in addition to regular wages and other economic benefits under legal provisions and collective bargaining. The perseverance of employee welfare is to improve the working class which in turn makes a worker a good employee and a happy citizen. Employee welfare is an indispensable part of social welfare. It involves a balance between an employee's work life and family life to the community or social life.

#### Objectives of the study:

- To study the impact of employee welfare measures.
- To study the level of employee satisfaction towards the welfare facilities offered at ETA.

To suggest the measures to improve the welfare measures to enhance the performance of the employees.

### 2. Literature Review

P. Anju (2016) in the study identified that the rate of absenteeismhad been reduced to a great extent by providing housing, health and family care, canteen, educational and training facility and provision of welfare activities. He also stated that this principle for successful implementation of labor welfare activities is nothing but an extension of democratic values in an industrialized society.

## Impact of Welfare Measures on the Quality of Employees Performance with Special Reference to Construction Industry

Mr. Ramana T. Ventata (2015) finds that the welfare facilities are provided to the employees to keep the motivation levels high. He states that the intramural and extramural welfare measures offered to the employees have improved their rate of satisfaction towards job.

Dr. P. Venugopal and T. Bhaskar (2011) found that employees are satisfied with welfare measures such as recreational, medical, educational, housing, transportation, sanitation, safety. The employees are also satisfied towards statutory welfare measures such as Workmen Compensation, ESI, Sickness, P.F and Maternity benefits. These measures have an impact on the quality of work life and also on the smooth relationship between employer and employee which leads towards the attainment of organization goals.

Dr. Usha Tiwari (2014) conducted a study on the employees' welfare facilities and its impact on employees. It was also further reiterated that the management should provide facilities to all employees in such way that employees become satisfied on the welfare facilities which in turn leads to increase in productivity in terms of quality and quantity.

Dr.P.Bhujanga Rao(2017) state that welfare measure is a process of recognizing the unique place of the worker in the society and doing good for them, retaining and motivating employees and building up the local reputation of the company.

## 3. Methodology

The Researcher used Descriptive Research Design in this research. Primary Data was collected through questionnaire and, secondary data are from journals, books, and websites. The data were edited, coded, classified and tabulated for analysis. The sampling technique used by the researcher is Systematic Random Sampling. Sample size taken for the study is 80.

## 4. Data Analysis and Interpretations

### 4.1 Pilot Study

A pilot study, pilot project or pilot experiment is a small scale preliminary study conducted to evaluate feasibility, time, cost, adverse events, and effect size (statistical variability) in an attempt to predict an appropriate sample size and improve upon the study design before performance of a full scale. Pilot study was done with 10 respondents.

#### 4.2 Reliability Test

**Table 1:** showing the reliability test

#### Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
0.828	44

**Inference:** Cronbach alpha value of the reliability test is 0.828 which is more than 0.75. Hence the questionnaire is highly reliable.

Table 2: showing chi-square to show the association between welfare measures and physical and mental health of employees.

#### **Null hypothesis**

There is no significant difference between department and impact of welfare measures towards the improvement of physical and mental health.

## Alternate hypothesis

There is significant difference between department and impact of welfare measures towards improvement of physical and mental health.

Table 2: Chi-square

Dept	Welfare Measures				Chi-	D	
	Effectiv e	Neutral	Ineffecti ve	Total	s quar e value	value	
	6	18	2	26			
human resource	23.1%	69.2%	0.07%	100.0			
S	19.4%	46.2%	20.0%	85.6 %			
	16	13	8	37		0.002*	
Marketin g	43.2%	35.1%	21.7%	100.0			
	51.6%	33.3%	80.0%	164.9 %	17.30	*	
Finance	9	8	0	17			
	52.9%	47.1%	0%	100.0	•		
	29.0%	20.5%	0%	49.5 %			
Total	31	39	10	80			

Since p-value is <0.05 Reject the null hypothesis

Accept the alternate hypothesis

**Inference:** Since the p-value is less than 0.05, the null hypothesis is not accepted and, the alternate hypothesis is accepted. There is a significant difference between department and impact of welfare measures towards the improvement of physical and mental health. Each department has a different form of workload and work stress and creates an impact on employees' physical and mental health. Welfare measures like seating arrangements, medical facilities, and recreation facilities should be provided effectively.

Table 3: showing ANOVA test the opinions of employees towards welfare measures with their experience Null Hypothesis: There is no significant difference between the opinions of employees towards welfare measures with the experience of employees.

**Alternate Hypothesis:** There is a significant difference between the opinions of employees towards welfare measures with the experience of employees.

Table 3: ANOVA test

Square   S	Attribute		Sum of	Df	Mean	F	Sig.
Between   Groups   As   As   As   As   As   As   As	s		Square		Square		
Medical facilities         Groups         3.535         2         1.767         3.146         .049           Medical facilities         Within Groups         43.265         77         .562			s				
Medical facilities         Groups         43.265         77         .562           Total         46.800         79         .562           Total         46.800         79         .562           Between facilities         9.105         2         4.553         9.119         .000           Within Groups         38.445         77         .499         .499         .000           Education Facilities         Between Groups         8.247         2         4.123         5.614         .005           Within Groups         Total         64.800         79         .734         .005           Housing Facilities         Between Groups         17.601         2         8.800         9         .000           Housing Facilities         Within Groups         34.399         77         .447         .000           Good Groups Working Environm ent         Within Groups         33.092         77         .430         .000           Total 45.388         79         .4321         .017           Transport Facilities         Within Groups         4.839         2         2.419         4.321         .017		Between	3 535	2	1 767	3 146	040
Setween   Setw	Medical	Groups	5.555	2	1.707	5.140	.047
Total   46.800   79		Within	43 265	77	562		
Between   Groups   Sa.445   77   A99   A.123   A.123   A.124   A.123   A.125   A.125		Groups	13.203				
Section   Sect		Total	46.800	79			
Insurance   Groups   Within   Groups   Total   47.550   79		Between	9 105	2	4 553	9 119	000
facilities         Within Groups         38.445         77         .499           Total         47.550         79           Between Groups         8.247         2         4.123         5.614         .005           Education Facilities         Within Groups         56.553         77         .734         .	Incurance	Groups	5.105	_	1.555	5.115	.000
Groups		Within	38 1/15	77	/100		
Between   Scale   Sc	racinties	Groups	30.443	/ /	.499		
Education   Groups   Section   Sec		Total	47.550	79			
Education Facilities		Between	8 247	2	4 123		005
Facilities   Within Groups   56.553   77   .734	Education	Groups	0.217	Ĺ	1.123	3.011	.005
Groups   Total   64.800   79		Within	56.553	77	.734		
Between   17.601   2   8.800   9   .000	1 demiles	Groups		, ,			
Groups		Total	64.800	79			
Housing   Within   Groups   34.399   77   .447		Between	17 601	2	8.800	19.69	000
Facilities   Within Groups   34.399   77   .447	Housing	Groups	17.001	2		9	.000
Groups   Total   52.000   79		Within	34.399	77	117		
Between   12.295   2   6.148   14.30   5   .000	racinties	Groups			.447		
Good Working   Groups   12.295   2   6.148   5   .000		Total	52.000	79			
Croups   5   5   Within   33.092   77   .430	Good	Between	12 295	2	6 148	14.30	000
Within Groups   33.092   77   .430	Working	Groups	12.273		0.110	5	.000
Croups		Within	22,002	77	430		
Total   45.388   79		Groups	33.072	, ,	50		
Groups   4.839   2   2.419   4.321   .017	CIII	Total	45.388	79			
Transport Facilities  Groups  Within Groups  43.111  77  .560		Between	1 830	2	2 / 10	4 321	017
Facilities Within Groups 43.111 77 .560	Transport	Groups	1.037	Ĺ	2.71)	7.521	.017
Groups	_	Within	43.111	77	.560	1	
Total 47.950 79		Groups					
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Total	47.950	79		1	

**Inference:** Since the p-value is less than 0.05, the null hypothesis is not accepted with respect to medical facilities, insurance facilities, education facilities, housing facilities. Good working environment and transport facility that is offered as welfare measures. Hence there is a significant difference between the opinions of employees towards welfare measures concerning their experience. New employees may have one kind of expectation and employees who have more experience may expect a different set of welfare measures. Therefore the experiences of the employees should be considered as a factor while improvising the welfare measures.

## Impact of Welfare Measures on the Quality of Employees Performance with Special Reference to Construction Industry

Table 4 showing the Anova Test showing the difference between gender and the satisfaction towards welfare measures provided at the construction industry.

#### **Null Hypothesis:**

There is no significant difference between gender and satisfaction towards welfare measures.

#### Alternate Hypothesis:

There is a significant difference between gender and satisfaction towards welfare measures.

Table 4: Anova Test

Attributes		Sum of	Df	Mean	F	Sig.
		Squares		Square		
Medical facilities	Between Groups	38.896	2	19.448	14.010	0.000
	Within Groups	188.787	136	1.388		
	Total	227.683	138			
Insurance	Between Groups	55.891	2	27.946	25.126	0.000
facilities	Within Groups	151.260	136	1.112		
	Total	207.151	138			
	Between Groups	42.841	2	21.421	15.729	0.000
Education Facilities	Within Groups	185.216	136	1.362		
	Total	228.058	138			
	Between Groups	31.267	2	15.634	10.394	0.000
Housing Facilities	Within Groups	204.560	136	1.504		
	Total	235.827	138			
Good Working Environment	Between Groups	53.657	2	26.828	20.285	0.000
	Within Groups	179.868	136	1.323		
	Total	233.525	138			
Transport Facilities	Between Groups	38.896	2	19.448	14.010	0.000
	Within Groups	188.787	136	1.388		
	Total	227.683	138			

## Impact of Welfare Measures on the Quality of Employees Performance with Special Reference to Construction Industry

Since p-value is <0.05

Reject the null hypothesis.

#### Inference:

Since the p-value is less than 0.05, the null hypothesis is overruled with respect to gender and welfare measures offered to the employee's viz., medical facilities, insurance facilities, education facilities, housing facilities, good working environment, and transport facility that is offered as welfare measures offered and its impact on satisfaction of employees. Hence, there is a significant difference between the satisfaction of employees towards welfare measures with the gender of the employees. The satisfaction of employees towards welfare measures differs based on gender. The preference of the employees towards welfare measure varies as per gender and management should take into consideration this factor while framing employee's welfare measures.

## 5. Discussions and Implications

- There is a significant difference between department and impact of welfare measures towards the improvement of physical and mental health. Each department has a different form of workload and work stress and creates an impact on employees' physical and mental health. Welfare measures like seating arrangements, medical facilities, and recreation facilities should be offered effectively to the employees.
- There is a significant difference between the opinions of employees towards welfare measures with their experience
  of the employees. The opinions of employees towards welfare measures differ based on their experiences. New
  employees may have one kind of expectations and employees who have more experience may expect a different set
  of welfare measures. Therefore the experiences of the employees should be considered as a factor while improvising
  the welfare measures.

### 6. Conclusion

Employee welfare is a comprehensive term including various services, facilities, and services provided to employees for their furtherance. Thus from this study, it is found that the welfare measures provided by the Construction industry directly impact the work competence of the employees. Proper welfare measures should be provided to persuade the employees and increase proficiency and effectiveness. The Company should take steps to create awareness among the employees about the welfare measures provided as it falls under the rights of the employee to know about the welfare measures provided for him/her by the company.

### References

- Armstrong. (1988). Human Resource and Personnel Management (3rd Ed.). New Delhi: Tata McGraw Hill Publishing Company Limited.
- Aswathappa, K. (2003). Human Resource and Personnel Management (3rd Ed.). New Delhi: Tata McGraw Hill Publishing Company Limited.
- Bhagat S., D. (2015). "Employee Welfares Measures in medium scale industry which concentrate on statutory Welfare Measures in Nashik MIDC". International Journal of Management Research & Review, 5, 113-116.
- Kerk Aldy, P. (1995). Labour Welfare & Job Satisfaction. New Delhi: Deep and Deep Publications.
- Khan, A. (1981). Labor Welfare & Job Satisfaction. New Delhi: Deep and Deep Publications.
- Kholi, A., & Sharma, S. (1997). Labour Welfare and Social Security (1st Ed.). New Delhi: Anmol Publications Private Limited.
- Kumar, A. (2003). Labour Welfare & Social Security: Awareness, Utilization, and Satisfaction of Labour Laws. New Delhi: Deep and Deep Publications.
- P.Swapna. (2011). "Employee/Labour Welfare Measures in Singareni Collieries Company Limited". International Journal, 3, 369-380.
- Prabakar, S. (2013). "Employees satisfaction & welfare measures a case study with special reference to Don Bosco College of Arts & Science, Sogathur, Dharmapuri". A peered review International Journal, 3.
- Ramasatyanarayana, M. (2012). "Labour Welfare measures in cement industries in India". International Journal of Physical and Social Sciences, 2, 257-264.

#### A.Varadaraj, D.Charumathi

Impact of Welfare Measures on the Quality of Employees Performance with Special Reference to Construction Industry

- Sumit, P. &. (2013). "Employee Welfare Measures in Auto sector". International Journal of Business and Management Invention, 2, , 66-74.
- Swlvan, B. & (2011). "Labour Welfare Measures- Step Stone of Healthy Industrial Relations". Journal of Contemporary Research in Management, , 39-50.
- Yashik, P. M. (2014). "A study about the Labour welfare and Social Security Measures in India". International Journal of Management, 2, 23-28.