

# Nesting of *already* nested designs

**Lucia Gionfriddo**

Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, Università di Catania  
viale A.Doria n.6, 95125 Catania, Italy  
lucia.gionfriddo@dmi.unict.it

**Abstract.** A general definition of *nesting* for a  $G$ -design of index  $\lambda$ , without conditions on  $|V(G)|$  and  $|E(G)|$ , is given in [4]. In this paper we consider the nesting of already nested  $G$ -designs and construct all possible nestings of nestings in the case that  $G$  is a  $P_v$ -design of order a prime  $n$ .

## 1. Introduction

Let  $G=(V(G),E(G))$  be a graph and let  $\lambda K_n$  be the complete multigraph on  $n$  vertices (every edge is repeated  $\lambda$  times).  $\lambda K_n$  is said to be  $G$ -decomposable, briefly we will write  $\lambda K_n \rightarrow G$ , if it is union of edge-disjoint subgraphs of  $K_n$ , each of them is isomorphic to  $G$ . We say, also, that  $\lambda K_n$  admits a  $G$ -decomposition  $\Sigma=(V,B)$ , where  $V$  is the vertex-set of  $\lambda K_n$  and  $B$  is the edge-disjoint decomposition of  $\lambda K_n$  into copies of  $G$ . If  $B \in B$ ,  $B$  is called a *block* of  $\Sigma$ . The pair  $\Sigma=(V,B)$  is, also, called a  $G$ -design of order  $n$ , *block-size*  $|V(G)|$  and *index*  $\lambda$ .

---

Lavoro eseguito nell'ambito del GNSAGA (INDAM) e con contributo del MURST

In [8], Milici and Quattrocchi gave the following definition of *nesting* of a  $G$ -design, generalizing the usual nesting for cycle-systems.

**Definition 1** Milici-Quattrocchi [8]

Let  $G=(V(G),E(G))$  be a graph and let  $\Sigma=(V,B)$  be a  $G$ -decomposition of  $\lambda K_n$ . A *nesting* of  $\Sigma$  is a triple  $N = \{\Sigma, \Pi, F\}$ , where  $\Pi=(V(K_n),S)$  is a decomposition of  $\lambda K_n$  in  $m$ -stars  $S_m$  and  $F: B \rightarrow S$  is a 1-1 mapping such that:

- i) for every  $B \in B$ , the centre of the  $m$ -star  $F(B)$  doesn't belong to  $V(B)$ , all the terminal vertices of  $F(B)$  belong to  $V(B)$ ;
- ii) for every pair  $B_1, B_2 \in B$ , the graphs  $B_1 \cup F(B_1)$ ,  $B_2 \cup F(B_2)$  are isomorphic.

It follows, as a necessary condition, that  $|V(G)| \geq |E(G)|$ . For  $|V(G)| = |E(G)|$ , this definition is equivalent to the usual nesting.

In [4],[5], we gave a new definition a nesting of a  $G$ -design in which there are not conditions on  $|V(G)|$ ,  $|E(G)|$ .

**Definition 2** [4] [5]

Let  $G=(V(G),E(G))$ ,  $H=(V(H),E(H))$  be two graphs and let  $\Sigma=(V,B)$  be a  $G$ -design of index  $\lambda_1$ , briefly  $\lambda_1 H \rightarrow G$ . A *nesting*  $N(G,H;\lambda_1,\lambda_2)$  of  $\Sigma$  is a triple  $N = (\Sigma, \Pi, F)$ , where  $\Pi=(V(H),S)$  is an  $m$ -star-design of index  $\lambda_2$ , briefly  $\lambda_2 H \rightarrow S_m$ , and  $F: B \rightarrow S$  is a bijection such that:

- i) for every  $B \in B$ , the centre of the  $m$ -star  $F(B)$  doesn't belong to  $V(B)$ , and  $V(F(B))=V(B)$  [ $x$  vertex of  $F(B)$  iff  $x \in V(B)$ ];
- ii) for every pair  $B_1, B_2 \in B$  the graphs  $B_1 \cup F(B_1)$  and  $B_2 \cup F(B_2)$  are isomorphic.

In the case  $H \cong K_n$ , such a nesting is usually denoted by  $N = N(G, n; \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ . Observe that  $N$  is a  $G^*$ -design of order  $n$ , block-size  $|V(G)| + 1$ , index  $\lambda = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$ , where  $G^* = G \cup S_{|V(G)|}$ .

If  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda$ , this definition is the same studied in [1],[6],[7]. Consider an example. Let  $[x; x_1, x_2, \dots, x_v]$  be the union-graph between the path  $P_v$  of vertices  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_v$  and edges  $\{x_i, x_{i+1}\}$ ,  $i=1, 2, \dots, v-1$ , and the star of centre  $x$  and terminal vertices  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_v$ , then we can verify that the following design  $N$ , defined on  $Z_5$  (the sums are mod 5), having the blocks:

$[j; j+1, j+2, j+3, j+4], [j; j+2, j+4, j+1, j+3]$ , for every  $j=0, 1, 2, 3, 4$  is a nesting  $N(P_4, 5; 3, 4)$ .

In this paper we consider nesting designs  $N(G_{i, n; v_1, v_2})$  of  $\Sigma_i$ , where  $\Sigma_i$  is a nesting design  $N(G_{i-1, n; \mu_1, \mu_2})$  of  $\Sigma_{i-1}$ , for all admissible  $i$ , starting from a  $G$ -design of index  $\lambda_1$ . We study the case that  $G$  is a path of order a prime.

In what follows, all the sums will be reduced mod  $n$ .

## 2. $k$ -nesting and necessary conditions

We give the following definition.

### Definition 3:

Let  $G=(V(G), E(G))$  be a graph; let  $\Sigma=(V, B)$  be a  $G$ -design of index  $\lambda_{11}$  and order  $n$  and let  $1 \leq k \leq n-|V(G)|$ , for an integer  $n$ . The 1-nesting of  $\Sigma$  is the nesting  $N_1=N(G, n; \lambda_{11}, \lambda_{12})=(\Sigma, \Pi, F)$ , introduced in Definition 2. Let  $G_1=G, \Pi_1=\Pi, F_1=F$ . For  $k \geq 2$ , the  $k$ -nesting  $N_k(G)$  of the  $G$ -design  $\Sigma$  is a nesting  $(\Sigma_k, \Pi_k, F_k)$  of  $\Sigma_k=N(G_{k-1}, n; \lambda_{k-1}, \lambda_{k-1,2})$ .

In what follows, if  $B \in B$  and  $x$  is the centre of  $F(B)$ , we will write  $(x)-B=B \cup F(B)$ . Now, we see some necessary conditions for the existence of a nested-design  $N(G, n; \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ . Some are proved in [4].

**Theorem 2.1** [4]: Let  $G=(V(G), E(G))$  be a graph and let  $\Sigma=(V, B)$  be a  $G$ -design of index  $\lambda_1$ . A necessary condition for the existence of a  $N(G, n; \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$  is that  $\lambda_1 \cdot |V(G)| = \lambda_2 \cdot |E(G)|$

**Theorem 2.2:** Let  $G_1=(V(G_1),E(G_1))$  be a graph and let  $\Sigma_1=(V,B_1)$  be a  $G_1$ -design of index  $\lambda_{11}$ .

- i) a necessary condition for the existence of a  $N(G_1,n;\lambda_{11},\lambda_{12})$  is that  $\lambda_{11} \cdot |V(G_1)| = \lambda_{12} \cdot |E(G_1)|$
- ii) a necessary condition for the existence of a  $N(G_k,n;\lambda_{k1},\lambda_{k2})$ , for  $k=1,2,\dots,n$   $|V(G_1)|$  is that for every  $i=1,2,\dots,k$ :
- $$\lambda_{i1} \cdot (|V(G_1)| + i - 1) = \lambda_{i2} \cdot (|E(G_1)| + (i-1)(|V(G_1)| + (i-2)/2)).$$

Proof: i) It is equivalent to Theorem 2.1. ii) For  $i=1$ , the statement follows from i) directly. Let  $i > 1$ .

From i):

$$\lambda_{i1} \cdot |V(G_i)| = \lambda_{i2} \cdot |E(G_i)|$$

Since:

$$\begin{aligned} |V(G_i)| &= |V(G_{i-1})| + 1 \\ |E(G_i)| &= |E(G_{i-1})| + |V(G_{i-1})| \end{aligned}$$

it is:

$$\begin{aligned} |V(G_i)| &= |V(G_1)| + i - 1 \\ |E(G_i)| &= |E(G_{i-1})| + |V(G_{i-1})| = \\ &= |E(G_1)| + (i-1)(|V(G_1)| + (i-2)/2). \end{aligned}$$

From which:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{i1} \cdot (|V(G_1)| + i - 1) &= \\ &= \lambda_{i2} \cdot (|E(G_1)| + (i-1)(|V(G_1)| + (i-2)/2)). \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 2.3** [4]: Let  $N=(\Sigma,\Pi,F)$  be a nested-design  $N(P_v,n;\lambda_1,\lambda_2)$ . Necessarily:

- i)  $\lambda_1=(v-1) \cdot h$ ,  $\lambda_2=v \cdot h$ , for some  $h \in N$ ;
- ii) if  $n=v+1$ , then either  $v$  or  $h$  is an even number.

### 3. $k$ -nesting of $P_v$ -designs

Now, we prove the following:

**Theorem 3.1:** *Let  $v \geq 1$  be an integer. Then, for every prime number  $n$ ,  $n \geq v$ , there exists a  $k$ -nesting design  $N(P_v, n; \lambda_{k1}, \lambda_{k2})$ , for every  $k$  such that  $1 \leq k \leq n - |V(G)|$ .*

**Proof:** In what follows, all the sums must be reduced mod  $n$ , being  $n$  a prime number. Consider the case  $k=1$ .

Necessary conditions give:  $\lambda_{11}=(v-1)h$ ,  $\lambda_{12}=vh$ . It is sufficient to prove the statement for  $\lambda_{11}=v-1$ ,  $\lambda_{12}=v$  (all the other cases can be obtained by a repetition of blocks).

Let  $\Sigma=(V,B)$  be the  $P_v$ -design of order  $n$  and index  $\lambda_{11}=v-1$ , defined on  $V=Z_n$  and having the following blocks, for every  $i=1,2,\dots,(n-1)/2$ :

- $\langle 0, i, 2i, \dots, (v-1)i \rangle$
- $\langle 1, 1+i, 1+2i, \dots, 1+(v-1)i \rangle$
- $\langle 2, 2+i, 2+2i, \dots, 2+(v-1)i \rangle$
- .....
- .....
- $\langle n-1, n-1+i, n-1+2i, \dots, n-1+(v-1)i \rangle$

where  $\langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_v \rangle$  denote the path  $P_v$  having vertices  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_v$  and edges  $\{x_1, x_2\}, \{x_2, x_3\}, \dots, \{x_{v-1}, x_v\}$ .

If  $G_1=P_v$  and  $G_2=(x)-P_v$ , we prove that the  $G_2$ -design having blocks:

$$B_{j,i}^{(1)}: (vi+j)-\langle j, j+i, j+2i, \dots, j+(v-1)i \rangle$$

for every  $i=1,2,\dots,(n-1)/2$ ,  
 for every  $j=0,1,2,\dots,n-1$

is a nesting  $N_1=(\Sigma, \Pi_1, F_1)$  of  $\Sigma$ . In fact,  $\Sigma$  is a  $P_v$ -design in which every pair  $\{x,y\} \subseteq V$  is contained in exactly  $\lambda_{11}=v-1$  blocks. We can verify that, if  $x,y \in V$ ,  $x < y$ , and  $y-x=h$ , then the edge  $\{x,y\}$  is exactly contained in the following  $v-1$  blocks:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle x, x+h, x+2h, \dots, x+(v-1)h \rangle \\
&\langle x-h, x, x+h, \dots, x+(v-2)h \rangle \\
&\langle x-2h, x-h, x, x+h, \dots, x+(v-3)h \rangle \\
&\dots \dots \dots \\
&\langle x-(v-2)h, \dots, x-h, x, x+h \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

Further,  $\Pi_1$  is an  $S_v$ -design of index  $\lambda_{12}=v$ . In fact, if we consider in every block  $(x)-\langle x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{v-1} \rangle$  of  $\Pi_1$  the differences  $|x-x_u|$ , for every  $u=0, 1, \dots, v-1$ , we can see that:

*in all the blocks  $B_{j,1}^{(1)}$  these differences are:*  
 $v, v-1, v-2, \dots, 1$

*in all the blocks  $B_{j,2}^{(1)}$  these differences are:*  
 $2v, 2(v-1), 2(v-2), \dots, 2$

*in all the blocks  $B_{j,(n-1)/2}^{(1)}$  these differences are:*  
 $v(n-1)/2, (v-1)(n-1)/2, \dots, (n-1)/2$ .

If we consider the matrix  $M_1[(n-1)/2, v]$  containing these differences, in the same order:

$v$	$v-1$	$v-2$	.....	$1$
$2v$	$2v-2$	$2v-4$	.....	$2$
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
$v(n-1)/2$	.....	.....	.....	$(n-1)/2$

we can verify that, since  $n$  is a prime number, all the possible differences appear exactly one time in every column. This implies  $\lambda_{12}=v$ .

Let  $k=2$ . The design  $N_1$  has index  $\lambda_{21} = \lambda_{11} + \lambda_{12} = 2v-1$ . The nesting star-design  $\Pi_2$ , associated with it, should have index  $\lambda_{22}=v+1$ .

If  $G_3=(x)-G_2$ , we prove that the  $G_3$ -design having blocks:

$$B_{j,i}^{(2)} : ((v+1)i+j) - (B_{j,i}^{(1)})$$

for every  $i=1, 2, \dots, (n-1)/2$ ,  
 for every  $j=0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$

is a nesting  $N_2=(N_1, \Pi_2, F_2)$  of  $N_1$ . We know that  $N_1$  is a  $G_2$ -design in which every pair  $\{x, y\} \subseteq V$  is contained in exactly  $\lambda_{21}=2v-1$  blocks. Further,  $\Pi_2$  is an  $S_v$ -design of index  $\lambda_{22}=v+1$ . In fact, if we consider in every block  $(x)$ - $G_2$  of  $\Pi_2$  the differences  $|x-x_u|$ , for every  $u=0, 1, \dots, v$ , between  $x$  and the vertices of the blocks of  $N_1$ , we can see that:

in all the blocks  $B_{j,1}^{(2)}$  these differences are:  
 $v+1, v, v-1, v-2, \dots, 1$

in all the blocks  $B_{j,2}^{(2)}$  these differences are:  
 $2v+2, 2v, 2(v-1), 2(v-2), \dots, 2$

.....  
 .....

in all the blocks  $B_{j,(n-1)/2}^{(2)}$ , these differences are:  
 $(v+1)(n-1)/2, v(n-1)/2, (v-1)(n-1)/2, \dots, (n-1)/2$ .

If we consider the matrix  $M_2[(n-1)/2, v+1]$  containing these differences, in the same order:

$v+1$	$v$	$v-1$	$v-2$	.....	$1$
$2v+2$	$2v$	$2v-2$	$2v-4$	.....	$2$
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
$(v+1)(n-1)/2$	$v(n-1)/2$	.....	.....	.....	$(n-1)/2$

we can verify that this matrix is different from  $M_1$  only for the first column, which is:

$$v+1, 2(v+1), \dots, (v+1)(n-1)/2.$$

Since  $n$  is a prime number, this implies that the elements of this column are exactly all the possible differences between  $(v+1)i+j$  and the other elements of the  $P_v$ -design. This implies  $\lambda_{22} = v+1$ .

In general, let  $k$  be an integer such that  $2 \leq k \leq n-|V(G)|$ . The design  $N_{k-1}$  has index  $\lambda_{k-1} = kv + (k^2 - 3k)/2$ . The nesting star-design  $\Pi_k$ , associated with it, should have index  $\lambda_{k-2} = v + k - 1$ .

If  $G_{k+1} = (x) - G_k$ , we prove that the  $G_{k+1}$ -design having blocks:

$$B_{j,i}^{(k)}: ((v+k-1)i+j) - (B_{j,i}^{(k-1)})$$

for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, (n-1)/2$ ,

for every  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$

is a nesting  $N_k = (N_{k-1}, \Pi_k, I_k)$  of  $N_{k-1}$ . We know that  $N_{k-1}$  is a  $G_k$ -design of index  $\lambda_{k-1}$ .

Further  $\Pi_k$  is an  $S_v$ -design of index  $\lambda_{k-2} = v + k - 1$ . In fact, if we consider in every block  $(x) - G_k$  of  $\Pi_k$  the differences  $|x - x_u|$ , for every  $u = 0, 1, \dots, v$ , between  $x$  and the vertices of the blocks of  $N_{k-1}$ , we can see that:

in all the blocks  $B_{j,1}^{(k)}$  these differences are:

$$v+k-1, \dots, v+1, v, v-1, v-2, \dots, 1$$

in all the blocks  $B_{j,2}^{(k)}$  these differences are:

$$2v+2k-2, \dots, 2v, 2(v-1), 2(v-2), \dots, 2$$

.....  
 .....

in all the blocks  $B_{j,(n-1)/2}^{(k)}$  these differences are:

$$(v+k-1)(n-1)/2, \dots, (v+1)(n-1)/2, (v-1)(n-1)/2, \dots, (n-1)/2$$

If we consider the matrix  $M_k[(n-1)/2, v+k-1]$  containing these differences, in the same order:

$v+k-1$	.....	$v+1$	$v$	$v-1$	$v-2$	.....	$1$
$2v+2k-2$	.....	$2v+2$	$2v$	$2v-2$	$2v-4$	.....	$2$
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
$(v+k-1)(n-1)/2$	.....	$(v+1)(n-1)/2$	$v(n-1)/2$	.....	.....	.....	$(n-1)/2$



we can verify that this matrix is different from  $M_{k-1}$  only for the first column, which is:

$$v+k-1, 2(v+k-1), \dots, (v+k-1)(n-1) 2.$$

Since  $n$  is a prime number, this implies that the elements of this column are exactly all the possible differences between  $(v+k-1)i+j$  and the other elements of the  $P_v$ -design. This implies  $\lambda_{k2}=v+k-1$ .

The proof is completed.

## REFERENCES

- [1] C.J.Colbourn-M.J.Colbourn, *Nested triple systems*, Ars Combinatoria 16 (1983), 27-34.
- [2] C.J.Colbourn-J.H.Dinitz, *The CRC-handbook of Combinatorial Designs*, CRC Press, (1996)
- [3] C.J.Colbourn-A.Rosa, *Triple systems*, Oxford Sc.Publ., (1999).
- [4] L.Gionfriddo, *New nesting for G-designs*, Congressus Numerantium, 145 (2000), 167-176.
- [5] L.Gionfriddo, *On the spectrum of nested G-designs*, Australasian J. of Combinatorics, 24 (2001), 59-80.
- [6] C.C.Lindner-C.A.Rodger-D.R.Stinson, *Nesting of cycle systems of even length*, J.Comb.Math.Comb.Comp. 8 (1990), 147-157.
- [7] S.Kageyama-Y.Miao, *The spectrum of nested designs with block size three or four*, Congressus Numerantium, 114 (1996),73-80.
- [8] S.Milici-G.Quattrocchi, *On nesting of path-designs*, J.Comb. Math. Comb.Comp., to appear.
- [9] S.Milici-G.Quattrocchi, *On nesting of G-decomposition of  $K_v$  where G has four vertices or less*, to appear.

- [10] G. Quattrocchi, *t*-designs: nesting and embedding problems, to appear.
- [11] D.R. Stinson, *The spectrum of nested Steiner triple systems*, Graphs and Combinatorics, 1 (1985), 189-191.
- [12] D.R. Stinson, *On the spectrum of nested  $t$ -cycle-systems*, Utilitas Math., 33 (1988), 47-50.