Factors Affecting The Selection Of Health Insurance : An Empirical Study

* Dr. Sumninder Kaur Bawa ** Ruchita Verma

INTRODUCTION

A human being can manage to live without a good education...without a splendid car...without an opulent house..., but no one can manage to live without good and excellent health, that too when he/she is living below the poverty line. The reason behind this is, "how the poor can approach the good hospitals like Fortis and others to get good-quality treatment, and how the same can contribute towards the development of a nation?"

Moreover, poor health leads to a never ending vicious circle of poverty, because an individual without good health is unable to work, no work means no earnings, and such a person is unable to support himself. So the question arises, what is the need of hour? Should a person first have access to good education; a splendid car; an opulent house? Certainly, the answer would be "No". Every human being primarily should have access to good health care services, and that too, at a low cost. One solution is to take a good health insurance scheme, which is a widely recognized mechanism to finance the healthcare needs of an individual because he/she has to make a gradual contribution for the premium of the insurance scheme.

The credit for the origination of the concept of health insurance goes to Hugh the Elder Chamberlen from the Peter Chamberlen family, who proposed it for the first time in 1694. In the late 19th century, "Accidental Insurance" began, which operated much like modern "Disability Insurance". It was firstly offered by Franklin Health Assurance Co. of US, which was founded in 1850. It provides coverage for the accidents in case of rail, road and steamboat accidents. This payment model continued until the start of the 20th century in some jurisdictions like California. Thereafter, it came into light in other parts of the world (Source: 10th Global Conference of Actuaries (http://www.actuariesindia.org/GCA/10th%20GCA/Volumes.htm).

In India, health insurance found the new track of success and growth in the year 1999, with the passage of Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority (IRDA) Bill in the Parliament. Thereafter, the Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority (IRDA), since its incorporation in April 2000, has fastidiously stuck to its schedule of framing regulations and opening up the insurance sector to private players by allowing 26 % Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) in the insurance sector. Moreover, IRDA has introduced several insurance regulations, including provisions for Third Party Administrators (TPAs) to support the administration and management of health insurance products offered by insurance companies. So, during this period, private health insurance products integrated with the services of TPA were introduced. One of the recent health insurance schemes introduced was the Rashtriya Swasthya Bima Yojana (RSBY) in the year 2008-09, which covers all the Below Poverty Line (BPL) families in the country. No doubt, the owner of the scheme is the state government, but it is also financed by the central government because it shares the premium subsidy upto 75% (Swarup, 2008).

From the above information, it is certain that no doubt the Health Insurance is of recent origin, but the rapid growth of it talks about its importance in the country. It is one of the emerging segments for both life and general insurance companies as the Insurance Act, 1938 has allowed both life and general insurance companies to sell health insurance schemes to both individuals and groups, and the Insurance Act, 1938 has further stated that IRDA should give preference to those insurers, who plan to have focus on health insurance too at the time of granting certificate of registration.

^{*} Senior Lecturer; Department of Commerce and Business Management, Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar, Punjab. E-mail: skbawa_gndu@yahoo.com

^{**} Junior Research Fellow, Department of Commerce and Business Management, Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar, Punjab. E-mail: verma.ruchita2000@gmail.com

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

This section deals with the review of the various studies conducted by the researchers, having direct or indirect relation with the present study. This review will be helpful in identifying the research gaps, underlying the need of the study and specifying its objectives. Sanyal (1996) examined that the burden of health care expenditure in the rural areas was twice in 1986-87 as compared to 1963-64, and supported the view that avenues for additional revenue earning lie more in the secondary and tertiary hospitals. The study suggested that some introspection is needed, particularly when the abnormal increase in prices of medical care took place as compared to the non-food items, but still, there is an increased burden of health care expenditure and concluded that the health planners would have to pay more consideration towards this aspect in order to enhance health care services at a reasonable cost. Sodani P.R. (2001) investigated the community's preference on the various aspects of health insurance. The study revealed a low level of awareness about health insurance, and also provided evidence that quality of care and cost are the two important factors affecting the community's decision to subscribe to any new health insurance plan. Gupta (2002) analyzed whether individuals and households would be willing to participate in private health insurance schemes or not. The study shows that high willingness to participate in the insurance programme was mainly from low-income individuals, because the middle and high-income households already have some form of insurance. All low-income individuals want health insurance at a low cost, so the minimum price is the main force governing their selection for health insurance products. Matthies and Cahill (2004) observed that a level playing field with adequate consumer protection created through the legal regulatory framework is necessary for the participation of individuals in health insurance, but is not sufficient to promote development of the health insurance market. Asgary, Willis, Taghvari and Refeian (2004) estimated the Demand and Willingness to Pay (WTP) for health insurance by rural households in Iran and provided that a significant percentage of the population (more than 38%) lives in rural areas. In order to provide rural areas with the same level of protection as urban areas, the difference would have to be subsidized, and the policy makers would need to consider this difference in WTP in a different region of the country in policy formulation. Dror (2006) had considered seven myths regarding health insurance and examined the realities behind these myths. The study concluded that income of the people affects their willingness to participate in health insurance, and most of the people are willing to pay 1.35% of their income or more for health insurance. Ramani and Mavalankar (2006) attempted to examine the health system in India and showed that health and socio-economic development are so closely related, that it is impossible to achieve one without the other. The study concluded that identifying the role and responsibilities of various stakeholders is necessary for building an efficient and effective health system, and that is also necessary for the participation of more individuals in the health insurance schemes. Dror (2007) examined why the "one-size-fits-all" health insurance products are not suitable to low income persons in India. The study provided the evidence that existence of considerable variability to pay for health insurance is because of multiple reasons like variability in income, frequency of illness among households, quality and proximity of providers (private, public) in different locations. Moreover, the study suggested that policy makers should consider this variability to pay, in order to ensure more participation of people in health insurance products. Kipp and Snook (2008) provided that in order to be more successful in the area of health insurance, insurers have to classify the risk in accordance with the individuals' profile and price them accordingly i.e. the individuals' characteristics and price of the products widely affect their participation in health insurance.

NEED AND OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY

From the review of literature, it came to light that there is a dire need to study the state of health insurance in general, and the factors affecting the selection of health insurance by an individual, in particular. The reason is attributable to the fact that, firstly; this is one of the growing businesses now- a- days and secondly; it is the need of the hour. Moreover, in India, around 94 percent of the total work force is in the unorganized sector, and one of the major problems among them is the frequent incidence of illness and need for medical care and hospitalization. No doubt, the health care facilities have expanded a lot, but still, one of the urgent and vexing problems faced by Indian people, especially the people residing in rural areas is, no awareness or little awareness regarding health insurance products. In the emerging era, health insurance has been clearly recognized as a mechanism, tool and device to provide protection to households against the risk of spending on health. Besides this, the review of literature has provided us an insight that significant studies have not been conducted in the Amritsar district of Punjab. So, the present study

focuses on this aspect and was conducted with a view to investigate and examine the various factors affecting the selection for health insurance. On the whole, this is an effort in the field of health insurance to know about what are the various factors governing the selection of health insurance by an individual.

DATABASE AND RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This is a research article based on the study conducted in the Amritsar district of Punjab. The information forming a part of the research was obtained through a self-structured questionnaire, with the geographical focus on Amritsar district of Punjab. A total of 180 respondents were considered by following the convenience sampling method. Firstly, a pilot study was done by taking the information from 25 respondents forming a part of the sample, in order to find out the appropriateness of the questionnaire.

The factor analysis has been used to draw the meaningful inference from the study. It is a general name denoting a class of procedures primarily used for data reduction and summarization. In research, there may be a large number of variables, most of which are correlated and which must be reduced to a manageable level. Relationships among set of many interrelated variables were examined and are represented with the help of factor analysis. The approach used in the factor analysis is "Principal Component Analysis". In this component analysis, the total variance in the data is considered. The diagonal of the correlation matrix consists of unities, and full variance is bought in to the factor matrix. It determines the minimum number of factors that will account for maximum variance in the data for use in subsequent multivariate analysis. The factors are also called principal components. Although the initial or unrotated factor matrix indicates the relationship between the factors and individual variables, it seldom results in factors that can be interpreted, because the factors are correlated with many variables. Hence, the variance explained by each factor is redistributed by rotation. The method used for rotation in this study is "Varimax". It is a method of factor

| Variable | Description |
|----------|---|
| V1 | Name and Reputation of the insurance company. |
| V2 | Use of modern technology by the insurance company. |
| V3 | Courteousness of employees, brokers and corporate agents. |
| V4 | Capability and knowledge of employees, brokers and corporate agents. |
| V5 | Services provided by the employees, brokers and corporate agents. |
| V6 | Availability of maximum consumable income. |
| V7 | Use of extensive promotional activities. |
| V8 | Maximum customers' satisfaction. |
| V9 | Prompt claim processing with least of formalities. |
| V10 | Availability of loan facility to meet all associated cost of health insurance |
| V11 | Minimum co-payment involved. |
| V12 | Minimum deductible applicable. |
| V13 | Nominal premium charged. |
| V14 | Wide policy options. |
| V15 | Reliability of services offered. |
| V16 | Employer's contribution towards premium payment. |
| V17 | Comprehensive coverage. |
| V18 | Cash less facility. |
| V19 | Easy accessibility of linked hospitals. |
| V20 | Easy availability of services in hospitals. |
| V21 | Flexibility of policy offered. |
| V22 | Availability of tax benefits. |
| V23 | Goodwill and Linkage of company with Third Party Administrators (TPAs) |

rotation that minimizes the numbers of variables with high loading on a factor, thereby enhancing the interpretability of the factors (Source: Malh ra, 2007).

There are number of factors, which affect the selection of health insurance or are considered by an individual when buying a health insurance policy. All these factors are considered in the form of variables and are shown in the Table 1 along with their description. For all these factors, the respondents were requested to give their response on a five point likert scale ranging from very important factors to not all important factors, where 5 signifies the very important factor, 4 signifies an important factor, 3 signifies a not so important factor, 2 signifies unimportant factor and 1 signifies not at all important factor.

EMPIRICAL RESULTS, ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

| Table 2: The | General Background Of Tl | ne Respondents |
|--------------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| Gender | Frequency | Percentage |
| Male | 166 | 92.2 |
| Female | 14 | 7.8 |
| Total | 180 | 100 |
| Age | Frequency | Percentage |
| Less than 30 years | 20 | 11.2 |
| 30-40 years | 74 | 41.2 |
| 40-50 years | 53 | 29.3 |
| Above 50 years | 33 | 18.3 |
| Total | 180 | 100 |
| Education | Frequency | Percentage |
| Upto Matric | 35 | 19.4 |
| Higher Education | 48 | 26.7 |
| Graduation | 51 | 28.3 |
| Post Graduation | 43 | 23.9 |
| Vocational | 2 | 1.1 |
| Other | 1 | 0.6 |
| Total | 180 | 100 |

Table 2 shows that a significant proportion of the sample was male members, and a majority of the respondents belonged to the age group of 30-40 years. As far as the level of education is concerned, the maximum number of respondents were Graduates.

Before the application of factor analysis, the reliability of scale items was tested with the application of Cronbach's alpha. The value of all factors ranged between 0.87 to 0.98, indicating the presence of internal consistency. Further, to test the sampling, Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin measure of sampling adequacy was computed, which was found to be 0.620. It indicated that the sample was good enough for sampling. Moreover, the overall significance of correlation matrices was tested with Bartlett Test (approx. Chi-square= 216 and significant at 0.000), which provided support for the validity of data for factor analysis. All this provided that we can proceed with factor analysis and the result of factor analysis over 23 factors showed that there are 7 factors, which were determined by clubbing the similar variables and ignoring the rest, which majorly were considered to be the most important. The Table 3 shows the respective percentage of variance of all the factors derived from the factor analysis.

It is observed from the Table 3 that only 7 factors had Eigen value more than one, so accordingly, the researchers preceded with these factors. The total variance explained by factor 1, 2, 3,4,5,6 and 7 was 16.466, 13.695, 12.346, 10.611, 7.685, 7.263 and 6.804 percent of variance, whereas, the cumulative variance explained by all these factors was 74.686 percent, and rest of the variance was due to the factors which are beyond the scope of the present study.

The Table 4 shows that each statement corresponding to the highlighted factor loading is correlated with the factor

| | | | Table 3 : 7 | The Tot | al Variance o | f Various Fac | tors | | |
|-------|----------|--------------------|----------------|---------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|--------------|
| Var | | Initial Eigenvalue | is . | Extract | ion Sums of Squ | ared Loadings | Rotation Sums of Squared Loadings | | |
| | Total | % of Variance | Cumulative % | Total | % of Variance | Cumulative % | Total | % of Variance | Cumulative % |
| 1 | 5.945 | 25.849 | 25.849 | 5.945 | 25.849 | 25.849 | 3.787 | 16.466 | 16.466 |
| 2 | 2.929 | 12.736 | 38.585 | 2.929 | 12.736 | 38.585 | 3.150 | 13.695 | 30.161 |
| 3 | 2.334 | 10.150 | 48.735 | 2.334 | 10.150 | 48.735 | 2.839 | 12.346 | 42.506 |
| 4 | 1.791 | 7.787 | 56.522 | 1.791 | 7.787 | 56.522 | 2.440 | 10.611 | 53.117 |
| 5 | 1.727 | 7.508 | 64.030 | 1.727 | 7.508 | 64.030 | 1.768 | 7.685 | 60.802 |
| 6 | 1.412 | 6.138 | 70.168 | 1.412 | 6.138 | 70.168 | 1.670 | 7.263 | 68.065 |
| 7 | 1.081 | 4.701 | 74.868 | 1.081 | 4.701 | 74.868 | 1.565 | 6.804 | 74.868 |
| 8 | .983 | 4.274 | 79.142 | | | | | | |
| 9 | .848 | 3.688 | 82.831 | | | | | | |
| 10 | .770 | 3.349 | 86.180 | | | | | | |
| 11 | .626 | 2.724 | 88.904 | | | | | | |
| 12 | .562 | 2.443 | 91.347 | | | | | | |
| 13 | .419 | 1.821 | 93.167 | | | | | | |
| 14 | .368 | 1.601 | 94.768 | | | | | | |
| 15 | .297 | 1.291 | 96.059 | | | | | | |
| 16 | .244 | 1.063 | 97.122 | | | | | | |
| 17 | .205 | .893 | 98.015 | | | | | | |
| 18 | .154 | .669 | 98.684 | | | | | | |
| 19 | .133 | .580 | 99.264 | | | | | | |
| 20 | .093 | .403 | 99.667 | | | | | | |
| 21 | .056 | .245 | 99.913 | | | | | | |
| 22 | .014 | .063 | 99.975 | | | | | | |
| 23 | .006 | .025 | 100.000 | | | | | | |
| Extra | ction Me | thod: Principal Co | omponent Analy | sis. | | | | | |

| | | | | Component | | | |
|-----|------|------|------|-----------|------|------|------|
| | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | 2 | | | _ | | 7 |
| V1 | 102 | 046 | .171 | .294 | .091 | .712 | 016 |
| V2 | .207 | .151 | .172 | .243 | .143 | 005 | 711 |
| V3 | .099 | 158 | .189 | .852 | .065 | 130 | 068 |
| V4 | .180 | .062 | .156 | .742 | 018 | .081 | 035 |
| V5 | .162 | .145 | 111 | .553 | .155 | .154 | .074 |
| V6 | .075 | .057 | .777 | .425 | 079 | 099 | 103 |
| V7 | .208 | .119 | .233 | .328 | 537 | 342 | .402 |
| V8 | .382 | .236 | 024 | .141 | .225 | 096 | .710 |
| V9 | .714 | .274 | .230 | .239 | .033 | .224 | .218 |
| V10 | .529 | .265 | .576 | .094 | 011 | 073 | .066 |
| V11 | 410 | .497 | .076 | .114 | .399 | .261 | .165 |
| V12 | .067 | .909 | .057 | 134 | 017 | .029 | 183 |
| V13 | .175 | .877 | 226 | .068 | 012 | 134 | .105 |
| V14 | 024 | .666 | .584 | 035 | .234 | .115 | 001 |

| V15 | .062 | .745 | .266 | .153 | 222 | 058 | .098 |
|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| V16 | .008 | 024 | 682 | .192 | 307 | .174 | .355 |
| V17 | .740 | .026 | .063 | .180 | 123 | .229 | 072 |
| V18 | .513 | .007 | .601 | .267 | .135 | .307 | .058 |
| V19 | .850 | .069 | .012 | .143 | .083 | 234 | 011 |
| V20 | .848 | 052 | .059 | .041 | .286 | 110 | .042 |
| V21 | .364 | 056 | .474 | .154 | .505 | 029 | .316 |
| V22 | .275 | 053 | .222 | .249 | .788 | 068 | 021 |
| V23 | 116 | 001 | .293 | .172 | .085 | 757 | .055 |

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis. Rotation Method: Varimax with Kaiser Normalization.

Rotation converged in 11 iterations.

| Factors | % of Variance | Factor Interpretation | Variables Included in the factor | Loading | | |
|---------|---------------|--------------------------|---|---------|--|--|
| F1 | 16.466 | Ease with which Services | | | | |
| | | can be availed | Comprehensive coverage (V17) | .740 | | |
| | | | Cash less facilities (V18) | .513 | | |
| | | | Easy accessibility of linked hospital (V19) | .850 | | |
| | | | Easy availability of services in hospitals (V20) | .848 | | |
| F2 | 13.695 | Minimum Consideration | Minimum co-payment involved (V11) | .497 | | |
| | | | Minimum deductible applicable (V12) | .909 | | |
| | | | Nominal premium charged (V13) | .877 | | |
| | | | Wide policy options (V14) | .666 | | |
| | | | Reliability of services (V15) | .745 | | |
| F3 | 12.346 | Availability of Funds | Availability of maximum consumable income (V6) | .777 | | |
| | | (whether in hand | Availability of loan facility for making premium payment (V10) | .576 | | |
| | | or from outside) | Employer's contribution towards the premium payment (V16) | 682 | | |
| F4 | 10.611 | Intermediaries' | Courteousness of the employees, brokers and agents (V3) | .852 | | |
| | | Outreach and | • Capability and knowledge of the employees, brokers and agents (V4) | .742 | | |
| | | Capabilities | Services provided by the employees, brokers and agents (V5) | .553 | | |
| F5 | 7.685 | Multiplicity of | Use of extensive promotional activities (V7) | 537 | | |
| | | Benefits with Extensive | Tax benefits (V21) | .505 | | |
| | | Promotional Activities | Flexibility of policy offered (V22) | .788 | | |
| F6 | 7.263 | Goodwill and | Name and reputation of the company (V1) | .712 | | |
| | | Linkage of the Company | • Linkage with Third Party Administrator (TPA) and their services (V23) | 757 | | |
| F7 | 6.804 | Use of modern | Use of modern technology (V2) | 711 | | |
| | | technology | Maximum satisfaction to customers (V8) | .710 | | |

corresponding to that factor loading. Higher the factor loading, the stronger is the correlation between the factors and the statements. On the basis of rotated component matrix, the factor extraction Table was prepared.

The above stated factors are in the order of degree of importance i.e. factor 1 is more important than factor 2; factor 2 is more important than factor 3 and so on. The factor 1 and 2 have 16.466%, 13.695% of variance, which is the highest variance as compared with factor 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 where % of variance is 12.346, 10.611, 7.685, 7.263 and 6.804. Hence, it was found that ease with which services can be availed and minimum consideration are the most important

factors affecting the selection of health insurance.

CONCLUSION

The present study was conducted with a view to investigate and examine the various factors affecting and governing the selection for health insurance by an individual 7 key factors were clubbed with the related variables namely, ease with which services can be availed; minimum consideration; availability of funds (whether in hand or from outside); intermediaries' outreach and capabilities; multiplicity of benefits with extensive promotional activities; goodwill and linkage of the company with Third Party Administrators (TPAs) and operating with customers' oriented modern technology were the main factors, which are considered by an individual while selecting or buying health insurance. The present study has implications both for the insurance companies as well as for IRDA, which is regulating the insurance companies. The study has highlighted that the ease with which the services can be availed, and minimum consideration are the highly considered factors by an individual. So, the insurance companies, whenever framing new health insurance products or modifying existing health insurance products, should highly consider these factors from the perceptive of their clients. Similarly, the IRDA should frame and implement the norms by considering the aforediscussed factors.

REFERENCES

- 1) Asgary, A., Willis, K., Taghvaei, A. A. and Rafeian, M. (2004). "Estimating Rural Households' Willingness to Pay for Health Insurance", European Journal of Health Economics, Vol. 5, No. 3, pp. 209-215.
- 2) Dror, M.D. (2006). "Health Insurance for the Poor: Myths and Realities", Economic and Political Weekly, Vol. 41, No. 43 & 44, pp. 4541-4544.
- 3) Dror, M.D. (2007). "Why "one-size-fits-all" health insurance products are unsuitable for low-income persons in the informal economy in India", The Asian Economic Review, Vol. 49, No. 4.
- 4) Gupta, I. (2002). "Private Health Insurance and Health Costs Results from a Delhi Study", Economic and Political Weekly, Vol. 37, No. 27, pp. 2795-2802.
- 5) Global (10th) Conference of Actuaries a. http://www.actuariesindia.org/GCA/10th%20GCA/Volumes.htm accessed on July 20, 2011.
- 6) Kipp, R. and Snook, T. (2008). "Designing New Health Insurance Products Technical Consideration", IRDA Journal, Vol. 6, No. 2, pp. 17-24.
- 7) Matthies, S. and Cahill. R. K. (2004). "How India Can Break Barriers to Develop Health Insurance", IRDA Journal, Vol. II, No. 11, pp. 7-12.
- 8) Malhotra .N.K. (2007) "Marketing Research An Applied Orientation", 5th Edition, Pearson Publications, New Delhi.
- 9) Ramani, K.V. and Mavalankar, D. (2006). "DEBATE AND DISCUSSION Health System in India: opportunities and challenges for improvements", Journal of Health Organization and Management, Vol.20, No.6, pp. 560-572.
- 10) Sanyal, K.S. (1996). "Household Financing of Health Care," Economic and Political Weekly, Vol. 31, No. 20, pp. 1216-1222.
- 11) Sodani, P.R. (2001). "Potential of the Health Insurance Market for the Informal Sector: A pilot study", Journal of Health Management, Volume 3, pp. 283-308.
- 12) Swarup, A. (2008). "Rashtriya Swasthya Bima Yojana: Providing health insurance covers to the poor", International Labour Organization, pp. 19-20.